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1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

This report has been prepared for the Land Development Agency (LDA) by Elton Consulting to summarise the outcomes of the consultation process relating to the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy (2015). It provides a summary of recent consultation activities undertaken in the period February to April 2015. These activities form part of a wider process of community and stakeholder consultation for the Canberra Brickworks + Environs which commenced in April 2010 and included further activities in May to June 2014. Summary Reports which document the key outcomes of previous consultation activities have been made available on the LDA website.

This report documents feedback obtained from members of the community and key stakeholders who participated in the recent consultation activities including:

» Stakeholder briefings and meetings
» Project Reference Group meeting
» Community Drop-In Session
» Project website
» Public comments.

1.2 Participation

The consultation process demonstrated a high level of public participation and interest in the Revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy (2015), particularly from within the local community. The majority of people who completed a feedback form as part of the Community Drop-In Session were residents of Yarralumla (61%, 57 feedback forms out of 93). The majority of individual public comments provided during the formal comment period were also made by residents of Yarralumla (71%, 67 comments out of 94). Another 15 comments were made by key stakeholder groups and organisations.

An overview of community and stakeholder participation in the recent consultation activities is provided in Table 1. It shows comparable levels of participation between 2015 and 2014 consultation activities, but that a lower number of feedback forms and comments were received from participants in relation to the revised Strategy (in 2015).
Table 1  Participation in consultation process – 2015 and 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of participants at Community Drop-In Session</td>
<td>300+ (165 registered)</td>
<td>277 (227 registered)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of completed feedback forms</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of formal public comments</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder group or organisation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3  Participant feedback

Feedback form responses provided at the Drop-In Session relating to the Revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy (2015) are summarised in Table 2. Feedback form responses relating to the 2014 Strategy are also shown for comparative purposes.

Table 2  Feedback form responses to Revised CB+E Strategy (2015) – and comparison with Draft Strategy (2014)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How well do you think the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Strategy responds to each of the following?</th>
<th>Revised Strategy (2015)</th>
<th>Draft Strategy (2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The majority of participants regarded the Revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy as responding very well or somewhat in terms of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Laying the foundation for the restoration of the Canberra Brickworks (71%)</td>
<td>» Laying the foundation for the restoration of the Canberra Brickworks (68%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Locating taller buildings away from existing residential area (70%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Providing public transport improvements (60%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Providing quality open space (57%)</td>
<td>» Providing quality open space (56%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The majority of participants regarded the Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy as responding not well in terms of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Maintaining neighbourhood character and amenity (65%)</td>
<td>» Protecting neighbourhood amenity and character (74%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Managing traffic and access (52%)</td>
<td>» Managing traffic and access (76%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Providing diversity in housing options to meet the needs of Canberra</td>
<td>» Providing transport improvements (68%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Providing additional retail locations (44%) -

The key comments and issues most commonly raised by members of the community and stakeholders in public comments were:

» **Traffic generation and management** - this included comments relating to specific locations (56 responses) as well as more general comments (34 responses)

» **The type and level of residential development proposed** - this included comments relating to specific locations or building heights (26 responses) and more general comments (51 responses)

» **National Capital Plan impacts** (54 responses)

» **Character and amenity impacts** (47 responses)

» **Provision of open space areas** (46 responses).

Positive feedback on the revised Strategy most commonly focused on:

» **Improvements to the revised Strategy** - such as inclusion of the Mint interchange, reduction in building heights, increased focus on and investment in restoration and adaption of the Brickworks, improved traffic arrangements, improved pedestrian / cycle access, increased provision of parking and green space.

» **Some support for infill development** - to meet the housing needs of Canberra and provide a greater mix of housing types.

### 1.4 Next steps

**How this report will be used**

This report is provided to the LDA for use in the next phase of the Canberra Brickworks + Environs project. The key issues raised in the 2015 community and stakeholder consultations are summarised in Table 3. The LDA and its project team will consider each of these issues during further refinement of the Strategy as the project progresses through various statutory processes.

As the table shows, the issues raised in community and stakeholder feedback on the revised Strategy were similar to those raised previously in the 2014 consultations. However, while there are specifics within those issues that require further and more detailed refinement to the plan, the revised Master Plan and the community engagement process to date have gone some way to addressing the major issues. Further issues raised as part of the 2015 consultation process are shown in Table 3 (see ‘Other issue areas’).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue raised in community / stakeholder feedback on Revised Strategy (2015)</th>
<th>General issue area (raised previously / used to inform preparation of information materials by LDA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultation process</td>
<td>Community engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic generation and management</td>
<td>Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The type and level of residential development proposed</td>
<td>Density and building heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community infrastructure provision (including retail)</td>
<td>Facilities and services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parking provision / increased demand for parking</td>
<td>Parking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability considerations</td>
<td>Environmental values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canberra Brickworks conservation and adaptation</td>
<td>Heritage value and adaptive reuse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of open space</td>
<td>Open spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction impacts / staging / contamination and remediation management</td>
<td>Contamination and remediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other issue areas:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency with Government planning framework and legislation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and connections - for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Capital impacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Character and amenity impacts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Stakeholder interviews and meetings

A number of meetings and briefings were held with key stakeholders in February and March 2015 to present the revised Planning and Development Strategy for the Canberra Brickworks + Environs and to seek feedback from key stakeholders in relation to the revised proposal.

2.1 Briefings

Meetings and briefings were conducted with the following stakeholders:

» Yarralumla Residents Association, Deakin Residents Association, and Inner South Canberra Community Council

» Royal Canberra Golf Club

» Thor Diesendorf and Geoff Farquhar-Still, current tenants of the Canberra Brickworks

» Shane Rattenbury, Minister for Territory and Municipal Services

» Gai Brodtmann, Federal Member for Canberra

» Residents of Schomburgk Street.

Key discussion points raised in stakeholder briefings

Yarralumla Residents Association, Deakin Residents Association, and Inner South Canberra Community Council

» Confirmation and support for reduced number of residences along Schomburgk Street.

» Concerns about the potential new Quarry Road to become a rat run. Also expressed concern about safety of the intersection with Bentham Street, as it intersects on a hill. The LDA responded that the Quarry Road would include traffic calming measures and will be a shared zone.

» Questioned whether Abbott Street should be a through street. The LDA responded that with the Quarry Road there are now more options – supporting better distribution of traffic flow.

» The revised Strategy will now provide parking near the Church, adjacent to Denman Street park.

» Questions about construction on ridges. The LDA confirmed that it does not want to compromise the topography of the site.

» Confirmation that the $5 million spend on the Brickworks is made up of $1.5 million for make safe works and $3.5 million for works to the Staffordshire and Hardy Patent kilns.

» The Quarry Park will now be delayed until Stage Three to mitigate traffic demand during the construction stage.
The Denman Street Park will be provided in Stage One.
Questions about vistas/views for people coming from Woden, and the potential impact of any development along the ridgeline. The LDA is considering using more mature plantings in that area.
The National Capital Authority Design Review Panel may decide to look at the landscape treatment. Most of their earlier concerns were around Dunrossil Drive and these have been addressed.
There will be an ongoing consultation during construction, a community development program, and place activation initiatives.
Wherever possible the LDA will seek to undertake remediation activities on site and minimise truck movements transporting waste through established residential areas. The agency may also consider opportunities for more direct access to Cotter Road.
It was agreed that it would be possible to extend the comment period to four weeks.
The representatives of the YRA acknowledged improvements to the plan and that many key issues had been responded to.

Royal Canberra Golf Club
The greatest concern is the 19th hole. Positive response to reduction in dwelling heights from eight to four storeys and increase in setbacks.
A key concern is potential complaints from future residents of the site about noise associated with morning mowing of the golf course.
The stormwater dam is no longer in the Royal Canberra Golf Course master plan.
Royal Canberra Golf Course is interested in opportunities for stormwater from the Brickworks site to be redirected to the Golf Course.
The representative of the Golf Club acknowledged improvements to the plan and that key issues related to height of adjacent buildings had been responded to.

Thor Diesendorf and Geoff Farquhar-Still, Current Tenants of the Canberra Brickworks
Early activation of the Brickworks is essential – key will be temporary parking
Some concern about mixed use site opposite the entrance to the Brickworks – want this to be complimentary in design and use. Would prefer this to be part of Stage 3 (to enable the Brickworks to establish character). The LDA confirmed it is likely to be delivered in Stage One but the LDA will take steps to make sure it is well integrated.
Thor and Geoff are in conversations with the YRA about early activation and refinement of concept.
The tenants are preparing an unsolicited bid for the redevelopment of the Canberra Brickworks and quarry.
The tenants acknowledged improvements to the plan and that many key issues had been responded to.
Shane Rattenbury, Minister for Territory and Municipal Services

» Questioned the adequacy of access from the new development to Cotter Road.
» Clarified progress on asbestos remediation.
» Questioned engineering issues and stability of the new road on the eastern side of the Quarry.
» Questioned availability/transparency of the background reports/studies – it was confirmed that all reports (except those that are commercial in confidence) are available on website.
» Expressed a desire for the bus interchange to be future-proofed, to accommodate light rail.

Gai Brodtmann, Federal Member for Canberra

» An overview of the project was provided – including Brickworks rejuvenation, traffic measures, retail and facilities, heights, parking.
» Questioned whether four to six storey buildings will be visible from roadway, particularly with ceremonial drive to Governor General’s residence.
» Confirmed timing of construction of Mint Interchange to occur early / as part of Stage One.

Residents of Schomburgk Street

» Questioned proposal to block the open space link between Denman Street Park and Quarry Park with housing; suggestion for a continuous open space link in this location and further improvements to enhance permeability and accessibility within the proposal more generally
» Sought further information on the proposed level of new dwellings (ie whether they would be at the same or higher elevation than existing neighbouring properties), related excavation works and costs / benefits
» Sought more information about the traffic study for the Canberra Brickworks + Environs and potential traffic impacts of the incoming community on the local area
» Provided advice about proposed parking on Bentham Street
» Highlighted community safety issues relating to proposed landscaping and traffic entering the area at night
» Suggestion for appropriate buffer zones between the development and existing residential community
» Residents questioned the need for the road and additional housing along the eastern perimeter of the proposed Quarry Park. Residents did not accept that housing in that area would enhance safety of the park through provision of passive surveillance.
A Project Reference Group meeting was held with key stakeholders on 25 February 2015 to initiate discussion of the revised Planning and Development Strategy. The meeting was attended by 22 representatives of the following organisations:

- Architect assisting Brickworks tenants
- Artist, current tenant of Brickworks
- ACT Heritage
- ACT National Trust
- Australian Institute of Landscape Architects
- Canberra Business Council
- Deakin Residents Association
- Heart Foundation
- Planning Institute of Australia
- Thor’s Hammer, current tenant of Brickworks
- Yarralumla Residents’ Association.

For a record of this meeting see Appendix A.

Participants identified some positive changes in the revised Strategy, and discussed issues to be addressed with further consultation. Positive changes related to the inclusion of the Mint interchange, reduction in building heights, additional investment in restoration of the Canberra Brickworks, increased provision of parking and green space.

Key discussion points included:

- Traffic and connectivity, in particular:
  - the flow of traffic through Yarralumla and Deakin and the Inner South more broadly
  - safety concerns along Bentham street
  - concerns relating to traffic volume and management around Cotter Road
- The need to strengthen public transport and pedestrian/cycling networks and to provide adequate parking on the site and surrounding area
- Further analysis of traffic flows / impacts is required, for example around Denison Street and around Kent Street
- Proposed changes to Dunrossil Drive
- The loss of open space and the need to utilise the natural topography and landscape buffers, create connectivity between green spaces including providing ongoing access for dog walking, and move away from a grid pattern
- The need for further consideration of the quarry site and its relationship to the Brickworks from a heritage perspective
» The revised Strategy was perceived to be not in keeping with the character of Yarralumla
» Impact of the proposed development on existing infrastructure.
4 Community Drop-In Session

4.1 Overview

A Community Drop-in Session was held from 10am-1pm on Saturday 28 February 2015 (at the Yarralumla Primary School Hall, Loftus Street, Yarralumla) to provide all members of the community with an opportunity to find out more about the revised Planning and Development Strategy and provide feedback.

Representatives of the Land Development Agency project team, ACT Roads, ACT Property Group and project specialist expert consultants were present at the session to respond to queries and comments about the Planning and Development Strategy. The session included a series of display boards outlining the proposal and a scale model of the proposed development. The display material was also made available on the LDA website.

Approximately 300 plus participants took part in the Drop-In Session. Participant feedback was collected through a feedback form and public comments to the LDA. It is noted that a similar number of people (approximately 277) participated in the Canberra Brickworks Community Information Session held in May 2014. For a copy of the feedback form see Appendix B.

4.2 Participant feedback

A total of 93 feedback forms were completed by members of the community who took part in the Community Drop-in Session. This compares with a higher number of feedback forms (138) received as part of the Community Information Session in 2014. Participant feedback from the 2015 consultation is discussed below.

Profile of participants who completed a feedback form

Figure 1 Which of the following best describes you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident of Yarralumla</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident of Deakin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident of Curtin</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident of Canberra suburb</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of community group</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local business</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Answered: 87  Skipped: 6
In some cases, respondents selected a number of options to describe themselves.

Figure 2  How did you hear about today’s Community Drop-in Session?

Several respondents indicated they had heard about the Community Drop-in Session through a number of channels.
All respondents were aged 18 years or over. Feedback forms were completed by a slightly higher proportion of men (52%) than women (48%).

**Key findings from feedback form responses**

How well do you think the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Strategy responds to each of the following?

A total of 87 respondents responded to this question. Responses are shown in full in Table 4.

**Table 4 Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response options</th>
<th>Very well</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Not well</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
<th>Total response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laying the foundation for the restoration of the Canberra Brickworks</td>
<td>31.7% (26)</td>
<td>39% (32)</td>
<td>14.6% (12)</td>
<td>14.6% (12)</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing diversity in housing options to meet the needs of Canberra residents</td>
<td>10.4% (9)</td>
<td>33.7% (29)</td>
<td>44.1% (38)</td>
<td>11.6% (10)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locating taller buildings away from existing residential area</td>
<td>10.4% (9)</td>
<td>59.3% (51)</td>
<td>26.7% (23)</td>
<td>3.4% (3)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining neighbourhood character and amenity</td>
<td>8.1% (7)</td>
<td>19.7% (17)</td>
<td>65.1% (56)</td>
<td>6.9% (6)</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing additional retail locations</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>38.3%</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Which of the following is most important to you?

A total of 48 respondents completed this question correctly, identifying a first, second and third priority. However, another 37 did not number their preferences. For this reason first, second and third priorities cannot be identified for these respondents. Responses to this question are shown in full in Table 5.

### Table 5 Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response options</th>
<th>First priority</th>
<th>Second priority</th>
<th>Third priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Access to a variety of dwelling types</td>
<td>4.1% (2)</td>
<td>10.4% (5)</td>
<td>10.4% (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to new open space</td>
<td>8.3% (4)</td>
<td>12.5% (6)</td>
<td>12.5% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access to improved public transport</td>
<td>6.2% (3)</td>
<td>16.6% (8)</td>
<td>8.3% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public access to the Canberra Brickworks Complex site</td>
<td>6.2% (3)</td>
<td>2.0% (1)</td>
<td>12.5% (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimising local traffic impacts</td>
<td>43.7% (21)</td>
<td>14.5% (7)</td>
<td>8.3% (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locating new housing close to the city centre</td>
<td>2.0% (1)</td>
<td>2.0% (1)</td>
<td>4.1% (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining local neighbourhood character</td>
<td>20.8% (10)</td>
<td>31.2% (15)</td>
<td>16.6% (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future use of the Canberra Brickworks site</td>
<td>4.1% (2)</td>
<td>10.4% (5)</td>
<td>14.5% (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Minimising local traffic impacts was identified as the most common first preference, and the second preference overall (32 responses). Maintaining local neighbourhood character was the most commonly identified second preference, but the most common preference overall (33 responses).

Figure 4  Which aspects of the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Strategy do you most support?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response options</th>
<th>First priority</th>
<th>Second priority</th>
<th>Third priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Interchange</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration of the Canberra Brickworks...</td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic management improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced building heights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarry Park proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other green and open space</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In total, 66 respondents (71%) provided feedback on aspects of the revised CB+E Strategy which they support.
A total of 80 respondents (86%) provided feedback on aspects of the revised CB+E Strategy that they least support and/or have concerns with.

Over half of all respondents (54%, 43) flagged increased traffic volumes and the potential for reduced traffic flow as a key issue. Yarralumla suburb, Maxwell Street, Abbott Street, Adelaide Avenue and Cotter Road were flagged by respondents as key locations for traffic impacts.

Just under 40% of respondents (39%, 31) raised density as an issue. Many of these respondents felt that higher densities would not reflect the existing character and amenity of the local neighbourhood.

A considerably smaller proportion of respondents (15%, 12) identified building heights as an aspect if the Revised Strategy that they least support and or have concerns with. Buildings fronting Adelaide Avenue were of particular concern, however opinions varied on what height was acceptable. Some respondents expressed the view that eight storeys is too high, while others described buildings of four storeys as being too high.

Other concerns included increased pressure on existing amenities such as cafes, shops and community facilities (13 respondents, 16.3%), parking issues (11 respondents, 13.8%) and the proximity of development to existing dwellings (5 respondents, 6.3%).

Twenty-seven respondents raised other issues including, but not limited to, building design, public accessibility, walking and cycling access, cycling infrastructure provision, the CB+E’s visual appeal, and the staging and timing of the project.

Do you have any other comments, suggestions or queries?

Many people who responded to this question raised similar issues as in responses to question 4 (Which aspects of the revised CB+E Strategy do you least support and / or have concerns with?), focusing mainly on issues relating to increased housing, traffic and people associated with the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environments Strategy. A selection of indicative comments that reflect these issues are provided below.
“Eight storey buildings in Deakin adjacent to homes on Newdegate Street. This is too tall (apart from the massive oversupply of major new apartment buildings that Canberra has seen over recent years = poor apartment capital growth).”

“Population encroachment not suitable in the CB+E space!”

“Density of population and consequent traffic hazards / flow.”

“The density is still way too much.”

“Traffic impact, infrastructure issues, impact on existing amenities, height of some of the buildings (>4 storeys is inappropriate outside the town centres).”

Additional comments and suggestions, over and above those captured under responses to question 4, focused on:

**Design considerations** (6 comments)

“Please pay close attention to the design of new units. The Northbourne Flats, borne out of a design competition, provide a good model for unit design.”

“Canberra doesn't need more vanilla design like Wright + Coombs. Think outside the box.”

“I would like to see some consideration made of the topography of the site and the layout of the streets.”

“The provision of communal/community gardens is essential.”

**Project timing** (4 comments)

“I am really pleased about the Mint Interchange and the clear timeline for the process.”

“Adaptive reuse of the Canberra Brickworks site should happen before any development takes place.”

“I’m concerned about the impact of construction works over a number of years and the loss of amenity to local residents.”

**Communication and consultation** (3 comments)

“Please keep up the information updates.”

“It is really important to consult local artists about getting involved in the design of studio spaces. Artists are essential in fostering a vibrant and creative community.”
Review of public comments

5.1 Overview

This section of the report provides an overview of feedback received in public comments relating to the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Planning and Development Strategy. It discusses key issues, as well as positive feedback relating to the revised Strategy.

The period for comments began with the Community Drop-in Session on Saturday 28 February 2015. The period for comment was scheduled to close on 21 March 2015, but was extended by two weeks to 4 April in response to stakeholder feedback. During that time a total of 99 written comments were received by the LDA. Another ten were received after this date.

Comments received and reviewed in preparation of this report included:

- 76 short comments (one to two pages in length)\(^1\)
- 33 longer form comments (more than two pages)

The majority of public comments (86%, 94) were made by individuals, with the remainder (14%, 15) made by groups or organisations. This closely reflects the 2014 public comments process, in which 87% (144) of comments were made by individuals and 13% (21) were made by groups or organisations.

Just under three quarters of individual comments made during the 2015 consultation period were from residents of Yarralumla (71%, 67).\(^2\) This represents a slightly higher proportion than in the 2014 public comment process, in which 62% of individual comments (102) were made by residents of Yarralumla.

Participant feedback is summarised below and further details of the issues raised is provided in Appendix C.\(^3\) A list of participating groups and organisations is provided in Section 5.3.

---

\(^1\) 13 of the 76 short comments were less than one page in length

\(^2\) Further comments were made by residents of: Deakin (6); Other places (6); Not specified (15).

\(^3\) For each comment, numerous codes were recorded, depending on the number of issues raised. That is, analysis was not limited by recording only a set number of codes per comment. A full list of codes is provided in Appendix D.
As shown in Figure 6, the key comments and issues most commonly raised by members of the community and stakeholders in public comments were:

» **Traffic generation and management** – this included comments relating to specific locations (56 responses) as well as more general comments (34 responses)

» **The type and level of residential development proposed** – this included comments relating to specific locations or building heights (26 responses) and more general comments (51 responses)

» **National Capital Plan impacts** (54 responses)

» **Character and amenity impacts** (47 responses)

» **Provision of open space areas** (46 responses).

Positive feedback on the revised Strategy most commonly focused on:

» **Improvements to the revised Strategy** – such as reduced building heights, reinstatement of the Mint interchange, improvements to the local road network, and pedestrian / cycle access

» **Some support for infill development** – to meet the housing needs of Canberra and provide a greater mix of housing types.
5.2 Participant feedback

Feedback is discussed below in relation to: each of the nine themes identified in the display materials presented at the Canberra Brickworks + Environs Community Information Session; and additional issue areas raised by individuals and organisations who made a comment.

Community engagement / Consultation process

Many participants commented on the consultation process for the Canberra Brickworks + Environs (31 responses). The key issues raised included:

» Some participants expressed the view that the revised Strategy does not respond to key issues raised in the previous consultations (2010, 2014)
» Some participants felt there was an ongoing lack of genuine community consultation with regard to the CB+E Planning and Development Strategy
» Several participants believed the results of the recent phone survey conducted by the LDA do not reflect local community perspectives.

Support was expressed for some of the earlier community and stakeholder issues being addressed in the revised Strategy. This included: a reduction in building heights; inclusion of the Mint Interchange; improved traffic arrangements; and increased focus on and investment in restoration and adaption of the Brickworks.

Traffic

Feedback included comments relating to specific locations (56 responses) as well as more general comments (34 responses). Responses focused on increased traffic generation, anticipated congestion and potential safety issues relating to the proposal and associated impacts on Yarralumla and Deakin. Key locations that attracted particular comment included: Woolls Street, Abbott Street, Bentham Street, Novar Street, Kintore Crescent, Dudley Street, Lane-Poole Place, and Denman Street in Yarralumla.

Some participants believed the LDA’s traffic modelling was inadequate and suggested a more comprehensive traffic study, with further consideration of the site locality, adjacent suburbs and wider region.

Some commented that the proposal should consider ways to discourage driving and encourage walking/cycling.

A number of comments highlighted traffic improvements demonstrated in the revised Strategy, with particular support for:

» Reinstatement of the Mint Interchange
» Provision of access to Denison Street in Deakin
» Provision for northbound traffic access to the Cotter Road, and southbound access to Yarra Glen from Cotter Road and Lady Denman Drive.

Density and building heights

Feedback included comments relating to specific locations or building heights (26 responses) and more general comments (51 responses). Participants commented that the number of dwellings proposed as part of the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environs Strategy should be reduced, with the incoming residential community representing a significant increase in the size of the Yarralumla population. Participants specifically
commented on the increased number of dwellings in the revised Strategy, despite public opposition to previous versions of the Strategy which comprised fewer dwellings.

Feedback called for:

- A reduction in density to reflect local character and retain visual amenity – some participants expressed a preference for low density housing, whereas others suggested adherence to a maximum of between two and four storeys.
- Provision of increased setbacks / buffer areas, to address the interface between the development, existing residential areas (eg Lane-Poole Place, Schomburgk St), and major roads (eg Cotter Road).

Some participants commented that the revised Strategy addresses some of the concerns raised by the community in the previous 2014 consultation and provides for Canberra’s housing needs. Comments focused on:

- The reduced building heights adjacent to the existing residential area in Yarralumla.
- The reduced building heights adjacent to Dunrossil Drive and the Royal Canberra Golf Club (from 8 storeys to 4 storeys).
- The scope for the proposal to provide additional housing options for Yarralumla residents and the broader Canberra community.

**Facilities and services**

Feedback highlighted the perceived need for a range of community infrastructure to support the new and existing communities – including shops, schools, childcare, cafes, gyms, bars (18 responses).

The key issues raised included:

- Local retail to be upgraded / expanded to meet needs of new and existing residents
- Community facilities such as schools and childcare centres
- Further amenities such as cafes, gyms, bars, etc.

**Parking**

Participants commented on the need for greater provision of parking to accommodate the incoming community and visitors, and to address existing local community needs (22 responses). The key issues raised included:

- The need for more parking at Yarralumla shops in Bentham Street
- The need for more parking adjacent to the Uniting Church in Denman Street.

**Environmental values**

Sustainability issues relating to the revised Strategy focused on the natural and built environment (30 responses). The key issues raised included:

- Ecological studies have been commissioned but the issues raised have not been adequately addressed in development of the Strategy
- The proposal would result in loss of flora and fauna – including the Native Temperate Grasslands and endangered Golden Sun Moth
- Concern that development of the site will adversely impact the natural environment and character (proposed cut and fill, removal of mature trees, removal of walking tracks, water quality impacts)
» Preference for any new development to be sympathetic to the existing landform and use a triple bottom line approach to sustainability – including social, economic and environmental sustainability.

» As part of an environmentally sustainable approach, the redevelopment should focus on minimising consumption of natural resources (eg through WSUD) and production of greenhouse gas emissions (eg the redevelopment could be done as a ‘sustainability showcase’); and mitigating against the impacts of climate change.

» As part of a socially sustainable approach, it was suggested the redevelopment should include opportunities for community gathering and education, provision of affordable, adaptable and special needs housing, and a range of community, cultural and recreational facilities.

**Heritage value and adaptive reuse**

Participants expressed concern about the conservation and adaptation of the Canberra Brickworks (39 responses). Feedback focused on the need for further consideration, planning and funding to ensure the Brickworks’ preservation and adaptive reuse.

The key issues raised included:

» Many participants noted that the CB+E Strategy combines conservation and adaptation of the Brickworks with extensive residential development, rather than giving focus to the Brickworks’ heritage values and adaptive reuse.

» The need for a fully costed and funded plan for the Canberra Brickworks.

» Establishment of formal governance mechanisms such as a Trust, and greater transparency around costings for the Brickworks.

A number of the comments expressed support for the proposed restoration and adaptation of the Canberra Brickworks, with a focus on:

» The primary heritage objective for the site, the restoration and adaptation of the Canberra Brickworks.

» Suggested future uses, such as a possible creative hub, commercial or community space.

» Making the Brickworks accessible to all Canberrans.

» The Brickworks as a major visitor attraction in Canberra.

**Open spaces**

Feedback on open space focused on: the loss of bushland and mature trees, the need for landscape buffers between the development and existing homes, and suggestions for the design of new parks and open space areas (46 responses).

The key issues raised included:

» Loss of bushland and replacement with formal parks

» Loss of mature trees including oak, robinias and elms

» Loss of existing walking trails and natural landscape buffers.

Some support was expressed in relation to:

» The proposed parks – particularly the Quarry Park

» The proposed “Clianthurus Circle Parkland”.
Other comments

Consistency with Government planning framework and legislation
Some participants suggested that the revised Strategy is not consistent with particular planning strategies and Government legislation (12 responses). These included:

» The Canberra Spatial Plan 2004
» ACT Planning Strategy 2012
» The ACT Territory Plan
» The Estate Development Code 2013
» The National Capital Plan 2014
» ACT Transport for Canberra
» Waterways: Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code
» Planning for Bushfire Risk Mitigation General Code.

Construction impacts / staging / contamination and remediation management
Some participants commented on construction impacts relating to the development (8 responses). A related issue was contamination and remediation relating to the Brickworks site (7 responses).

The key issues raised included:

» The importance of managing impacts on local amenity throughout the construction phase, such as noise, dust, contamination management, and construction vehicle movements
» Brickworks site contamination should be subject to assessment and remediation plan
» The need for development to be appropriately staged to minimise impacts on the local community
» The importance of regular communications with the local community throughout the planning and development process
» The need for appropriate mitigation measures to address some specific concerns among neighbours.

Public transport provision
Feedback relating to provision of public transport was made in a number of comments (7 responses). These focused on:

» Poor public transport access to and from the site
» Poor public transport links to employment centres such as Deakin, Parkes and Barton
» It was suggested that the Adelaide Avenue bus stop and proposed ‘park and ride’ facility be delivered in stage one
» There were some concerns over potential safety impacts from the proposed bus stop on Adelaide Avenue
» Provision of further public transport is required to support Canberra’s growing community.
Access and connections for pedestrians and cyclists

Feedback focused on the need for appropriate access and connections for pedestrians, cyclists, and other local users (23 responses). The key issues raised included:

- The Strategy does not adequately encourage active forms of transport, such as walking, cycling and public transport use
- Existing walking and off-road cycle trails are not incorporated into the proposal (e.g. ‘Old Uriarra Track’)
- The proposal does not create connections between existing and proposed parks and open spaces
- The proposal could negatively impact cyclist safety (e.g. travelling northbound from Kent Street to Novar Street)
- The parkland corridor between the Royal Canberra Golf Club and the Brickworks does not provide access for horse riders who are traditional users of that land.

National Capital Plan impacts

Feedback focused on perceived inconsistencies with the National Capital Plan (54 responses). Key concerns included the impact of the proposed development on current landforms, woodlands and forests, in particular the ridges running from Denman Street to Dunrossil Drive. Many commented that the proposed development would adversely impact the Main Avenue and Approach Route to the Governor General’s residence.

Character and amenity impacts

Many regarded the proposed development as being inappropriate / inconsistent with the existing character of the local area (47 responses). Key issues raised included:

- Maintain the Bush Capital feel / Garden City feel of Canberra and the local area
- Address amenity and liveability impacts for residents of the Yarralumla and Deakin areas (such as overcrowding and traffic noise)
- Address specific impacts relating to the development for neighbours in close proximity to the site
- Incorporate appropriate housing types, pattern and densities that are consistent with the existing suburb of Yarralumla.
5.3 Stakeholder groups and organisations

A total of 15 groups and organisations provided comments as part of the 2015 consultation process.

These were:

» Weston Creek Community Council
» Pedal Power ACT
» ACT National Trust
» ACT Heritage Council
» ACT Equestrian Association Incorporated
» IGA
» Living Streets Canberra
» Friends of Grasslands
» Yarralumla Residents Association Incorporated
» Deakin Residents Association Incorporated
» Inner South Canberra Community Council
» SEE-Change Inner South
» Molonglo Catchment Group Incorporated
» Australian Institute of Landscape Architects
» Feedback on behalf of Lane Poole Place residents

A summary of each comment made by these groups and organisations is provided in Appendix C. Many of the stakeholders who made comments sought to be involved in future consultation and communications activities.
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Meeting notes
# Land Development Agency

## Meeting notes

### Project Reference Group

**Canberra Brickworks + Environs**  
Planning and Development Strategy

**Venue**  
Land Development Agency  
470 Northbourne Avenue  
Dickson ACT

**Ref No.** 15/4296  
**Date** 25 February 2015  
**Time** 6.30pm - 8.15pm

### PRG attendees
- Heart Foundation
- Four representatives from the Yarralumla Residents Association
- Thor’s Hammer
- ACT National Trust
- Resident Artist, current tenant of Brickworks
- Canberra Business Council
- Three representatives from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects

### Other attendees
- Kristi Jorgensen, Project Director, LDA
- Irena Sharp, Senior Project Manager, LDA

### Invitees unable to attend
- Royal Canberra Golf Club
- Yarralumla Primary School
- Planning Institute of Australia
- Walter Burley Griffin Society
- AILA
- Pedal Power ACT

### Apologies
- ACT Heritage
- Community Engagement Manager, LDA
- Architect assisting Brickworks tenants
- Planning Institute of Australia (ACT)
- Deakin Residents’ Association
- Pedal Power (ACT)

### Attendees
- Steve Rossiter, Elton Consulting  
- Merran Laver, Elton Consulting (notetaker)
- Yarralumla Uniting Church
- Australian Institute of Architects – Heritage Section
- Australian Institute of Architects (ACT Chapter)
- Inner South Canberra Community Council
- AIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.30pm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><strong>Welcome and introductions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Steve welcomed the group and emphasised the process has brought people from a range of different interests together.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Kristi thanked attendees for their dedication to the project over 5 years and commented on the great consultation response.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• All attendees introduced themselves.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.40pm</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Presentation of the current Planning and Development Strategy for the Canberra Brickworks + Environs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Irena provided an overview of the current Planning and Development Strategy and summarised the key issues arising</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** The content has been formatted into a table for clarity and conciseness. The last paragraph was expanded to provide more context and detail.
from consultation. These include: traffic; building heights and dwelling numbers; conservation; landscaping; community facilities/retail; ecological assessment; contamination and remediation. Parking zones were also briefly described.

- Details of the current Masterplan were summarised and timelines for each of 3 stages outlined.
- Summary provided of how the public consultation process will be conducted.

7.20pm  

Questions

1. A representative from the Yarralumla Residents Association (YRA) asked about Dunrossil Drive going through the suburb and the adjacent park area.
   Response: Irena replied that the street’s characteristics will continue into the new site.

2. A representative from the YRA asked about construction on the ridges and connectivity between green spaces.
   Response: Ridge areas clarified on map with some development planned for part of those areas. Discussion of footpath network, with a possible continuous loop to brickworks around the perimeter.

3. A representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects questioned the impact on views and vistas from Woden and Parliamentary triangle toward the site’s ridgelines.
   Response: Buildings on ridgeline in revision; referral to landscape strategy.

4. A representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects commented on re-establishment of a landscape buffer and the impact by buildings on vistas toward the Brindabellas, as this doesn’t seem to have been addressed.
   Response: This will be part of the continuing discussions around the planning strategy.

5. A representative from Pedal Power (ACT) asked about walking and riding routes within the site and to bus routes.
   Response: Clear connections between paths are being developed.

6. A representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects commented on the park and ride having no justification as a new development, and questioned its need.
   Response: Irena explained transport planners are being consulted and the park and ride is a possibility that could be trialled temporarily to gauge use and need.

7. A representative from the YRA asked what is included in the 55% public realm.
   Response: Green spaces, parks and streets/footpaths (public spaces) included.

Attendees’ comments

ACT National Trust

- A representative from the ACT National Trust expressed that their main concerns centre on the quarry area and particularly the fossiliferous layers. They questioned whether this would be presented in planning. Kristi said the Geological Society has been consulted and there would be further discussions with society and further investigation is needed.

- A representative from the ACT National Trust commented that the Heritage registration allows for the quarry to be reshaped. The National Trust will be interested in the structural integrity of existing buildings and kilns and potential for adaptive re-use.

Deakin Residents’ Association
A representative from the Deakin Residents’ Association acknowledged a positive change in development of the plan. Their main concern was flow of traffic through Deakin and the Inner South generally, from residents’ viewpoints. They believed the interchange will ‘go a long way’ toward alleviating this. Some concerns about what happens to the traffic once it crosses the new interchange and arrives in Deakin

Some traffic evaluation missing ‘at a glance’; eg into Denison street, and around Kent street. Concern that ‘lights won’t be adequate’ to cope with Cotter Road and commuter traffic

It was emphasised that regional traffic planning is not adequate and its flow-on effects need ‘proper consideration’.

Pedal Power (ACT)

A representative from Pedal Power (ACT) mentioned traffic and connectivity to trunk routes as a main concern.

Heart Foundation

A representative from the Heart Foundation saw the design as a reflection of community consultation and an advancement of previous proposals

Main concerns were the pedestrian/cycling networks and whether there will be walkable connections out of area, being equidistant from Woden and Parliamentary triangle. A key issue is how the extended Cotter Road will be accessible for pedestrians and cyclists

Strengthening public transport also seen as important.

Planning Institute of Australia (ACT)

A representative from Planning Institute of Australia (ACT) liked the direction of the current plan and recognised that a lot of issues have been addressed, e.g. height/bulk of buildings. It’s in the ‘general right direction’, with more detail needed; e.g. impacts on existing infrastructure (telecommunications, stormwater) need to be addressed

Strong interest in traffic and believes ‘this one works a lot better’. In relation to transport, the plan shows ‘active movement’ and a ‘liveable’ site. Access to the site and proximity to the city are other issues raised

Concerns about the flora/fauna. They believe confidence is needed in ‘setting the site into the landscape’, that is ‘integral to Canberra’.

Requires thoughtful consideration of quarry site and its relationship to Brickworks which is significant from a heritage perspective.

Resident Artist

As a current tenant they recognise some positive changes in the plan. Support the inclusion of mixed use integration with the brickworks and expansion to areas nearby. Suggests that the identified mixed use site at the entrance to the Brickworks is particularly important and there needs to be some controls and conditions to ensure that both the design and the use of that site respond to and reflect what is being planned for the Brickworks.

Believes in maximising the potential of the Brickworks for what they could become and is keen to work with National Trust, Heritage and local residents

There is potential for slowing down traffic and increasing pedestrianisation, with the
• possibility of a bus stop at the Brickworks to ‘bring people in’

• Funding was mentioned and the comment was made that it is ‘good to see increased investment’; but that the money will be absorbed quickly.

Thor’s Hammer

• A representative of Thor’s Hammer mentioned mixed use in the Masterplan and wanted to know if the existing chimney where roads align will be retained. Irena responded that the chimney will remain although it is not clear on the map

• They believe parking might be inadequate in and around the Brickworks site where there is potential for community/cultural activities/events. Irena replied that parking sites will be dedicated

• An existing pedestrian/cycling track in nearby bushland has the potential to connect to the Brickworks site

• The points above led to a discussion about connectivity with external areas. A representative from the YRA commented that this could be ‘lost in the plan’ and there is a need to retain connectivity with surrounding areas. Kristi emphasised that off street/on street connections will be major contributors.

• A further discussion about the plan’s grid design led to the following concerns/suggestions:

Yarralumla Residents Association

• A representative from the YRA suggested the current plan is ‘not in character’ with Yarralumla, which is single storey and ‘not dense’. They expressed concerned that density is increasing (bulk and height) and approach routes are being lost. They also had concerns about residents losing the open space that currently exists

• They questioned the availability of studies, such as ecological assessments. Irena said that studies are available and pointed out that consultants will be present for people to talk in detail with at Saturday’s open day

• A representative from the YRA commented that there is a need to move away from the grid design, or ‘flip’ it to help improve integration and make the plan ‘workable’. They suggested the grid design is ‘not in sympathy’ with the existing suburb. In response, Anthony explained advantages of the current design and referred to Griffin’s plans (legible street networks) and emphasised that the site will be given its own identity. A representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects believed the grid design is ‘not necessarily a good plan’ that fits in with Griffin’s vision.

Architect assisting Brickworks tenants

• An architect assisting Brickworks tenants commented that the current plan is an improvement on previous proposals

• Concerns centre on proposed demolitions to the Brickworks features, such as railway storage and outer kilns; they believed these could be retained and used, and that the plan could commit to retaining these features. Kristi responded that core buildings are to be kept and will be discussed further. A representative of Thor’s Hammer pointed out that some buildings possibly proposed for demolition are undercover areas that will be needed. A representative from YRA questioned when safe public access to brickworks will occur

• Discussion about stages for safe access (Stage 1) and any demolition of outer kilns (Stage 3), which will be minimised and only undertaken when deemed absolutely
It was suggested that a bus stop at the Brickworks could help reinforce this site as a 'community hub'.

**Australian Institute of Landscape Architects**

- A representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects said the plan is going 'in a better direction' and they appreciate the opportunity to be a part of the consultation process.
- One of their concerns was the perceived diminution of Dunrossil drive; ie the width of the avenue, view and vista, with not enough vegetated setback. Believes the extension along the eastern edge of the Quarry Park to Bentham Street 'will become a rat-run' with safety and amenity of concern. Repositioning of Dudley street will 'eat into the buffer' and affect landscape amenity.
- They questioned whether the plan could be 'flipped' so that the open space buffer along Denman Street could be positioned closer to Cotter Road to provide a ridge buffer as opposed to a residential buffer in its current location. In response both LDA and members of the YRA commented that the buffer along Denman Street has been seen as an integral part of the plan since its earliest iterations and this was seen by the existing residents as a critical part of the plan.
- They can see the potential for tourism in the area which will come with the need for a plan to manage.
- They asked about the green infrastructure plan, e.g. street trees, and whether it has been 'fully incorporated'.
- Another representative from the Australian Institute of Landscape Architects commented on applying the grid plan over undulating topography, with concerns about the natural topography and development of levels and streetscapes. They pointed out the potential for changes, such as different storeys.
- A representative of the YRA asked whether the topography will be flattened. Irena and Kristi referred to topography in the plan and responded that there will be a need for some 'cut' but the intention is to retain as much natural topography as possible.

**Yarralumla Residents Association**

- A representative from the YRA mentioned the 'blind corner' or rise and the safety concerns along Bentham Street with the new Quarry Park road intersection.
- Also mentioned the higher yield in current plan.
- Would like to see further exploration of asbestos remediation works.
- Another YRA representative said the YRA recognise ‘positive development’ in government responses and in relation to the petition, re the Mint interchange. Other positives are seen in issues of parking, brickworks, building heights and green space.
- They believe consultation with residents is still needed, regarding number of dwellings, tree protection (including oak plantation) and existing walking trails in open spaces. For example, the Uriarra track is an amenity that could be lost.
- A YRA representative commented that the increase of money into the Brickworks is welcome; with a need for fully costed plans for re-use 'so we can see how far $5 million will go'.
• Stormwater is a key issue and is an significant existing issue in Yarralumla

• They saw the [project ref] group as ‘very positive with relevant representation’, which could continue throughout the development, stressing that interactivity is needed.

• Another representative from the YRA mentioned their concern of rat-running, pointing to the reinstatement of roads linking to Bentham Street as ‘leading traffic into the shops and school’

• They also expressed preference for the 2010 plan, which showed the Denman Street park extended further west to the Brickworks. This not only provided a more complete buffer but would also help to address the issue of greater connectivity for walkers

CEO Canberra Business Council

• The CEO of the Canberra Business Council mentioned past concerns with earlier versions of the plan, and that the new plan has addressed these through consultation.

---

### Thank you and close

Steve thanked everyone for attending and gave a reminder about the upcoming drop-in day on Saturday, where the project team and specialist consultants will be available.
B  Feedback form
Canberra Brickworks + Environ: Your Feedback

Please share your thoughts and ideas about the revised Canberra Brickworks + Environ (CB+E) Strategy by completing this 5-10 minute feedback form. Your feedback will be collected by the Land Development Agency (LDA) and will be accepted until 21 March 2015.

Your comments will help inform the next steps of the project design, development and statutory approval process and there will be further opportunities to provide comments throughout this process.

Section 1: The revised CB+E Strategy

1. How well do you think the revised CB+E Strategy responds to each of the following?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Very well</th>
<th>Somewhat</th>
<th>Not well</th>
<th>Unsure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laying the foundation for the restoration of the Canberra Brickworks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing diversity in housing options to meet the needs of Canberra residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locating taller buildings away from existing residential areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintaining neighbourhood character and amenity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing additional retail locations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing public transport improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing traffic and access</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing quality open space</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Which of the following is most important to you?

*Please number 1-3, where 1=most important*

- Access to a variety of dwelling types
- Access to new open space
- Access to improved public transport
- Public access to the Canberra Brickworks Complex site
- Minimising local traffic impacts
- Other (please specify): ________________________________

- Locating new housing close to the city centre
- Maintaining local neighbourhood character
- Future use of the Canberra Brickworks site

3. Which aspects of the revised CB+E Strategy do you most support?

____________________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________________
4. Which aspects of the revised CB+E Strategy do you least support and/or have concerns with?
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

Section 2: About You

5. Which of the following best describes you?
☐ Resident of Yarralumla  ☐ Resident of Deakin  ☐ Resident of Curtin
☐ Resident of other Canberra suburb (please specify): ________________________________
☐ Local business
☐ Member of a community group (please specify): ________________________________
☐ Other (please specify): _______________________________________________________

6. What is your age group?
☐ Under 18  ☐ 26-35  ☐ 56-65
☐ 19-25  ☐ 36-55  ☐ 66+

7. Gender:
☐ Male  ☐ Female

8. How did you find out about today’s Community Information Session?
☐ CB+E newsletter / letterbox drop  ☐ Economic Development website
☐ Email from brickworks@act.gov.au  ☐ Time to Talk website
☐ Newspaper advertisement  ☐ Our City, Our Community newsletter
☐ LDA website  ☐ Word of mouth
☐ Other (please specify) _______________________________________________________

Section 3: Contact Details

Your contact details will only be used to respond to any queries made and/or if you indicated ‘Yes’ to be added to the project database for the Land Development Agency to keep you updated on the Canberra Brickworks + Environs project. Your contact details will not be used for any other purpose.

Name: __________________________________________________________

Phone number: ___________________________________________________

Email: __________________________________________________________

Address: ________________________________________________________

9. Do you have any other comments, suggestions or queries?
___________________________________________________________________________________
___________________________________________________________________________________

10. Would you like to be added to the project database for further updates?
☐ Yes  ☐ No

Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughts and suggestions.
C Issues raised in key stakeholder comments
# Issues raised in key stakeholder submissions (organisations)

| Weston Creek Community Council (no.S1)  
| Tom Anderson, Chair  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received 24/3/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Density, housing type and local character:**  
  » Concern that the proposed density is too high with "more than a doubling of the population of Yarralumla" and is out of character with the suburb of Yarralumla.  
  » Concern with the number of apartments proposed. Suggests high value single dwellings and townhouses would be more in keeping with the area and provide a greater return.  
  » If apartments are to be developed, suggests a maximum height limit of two to four storeys.  
  » Concern that levelling the site to allow for “better building” will diminish the natural environment and character. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The western boundary:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>» Concern regarding the visual impact of apartment buildings up to six storeys along the western boundary, given the National significance of the area, particularly the approach to the Governor General’s Residence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Capital impacts:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>» Concern that Dunrossil Drive would be “cut short” and the approach to the Governor General’s Residence compromised.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| » Supports the decision to provide access to Denison Street in Deakin and for south travel on Yarra Glen.  
  » Supports the decision to allow northbound traffic access to the Cotter Road and southbound access to Yarra Glen from Cotter Road and Lady Denman Drive. |

| Friends of Grasslands (no.L3)  
| Sarah Sharp, President  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received 20/3/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Consideration of natural values within the Strategy:**  
  » Concern that the CB+E Strategy shows disregard for the potential impacts on Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) – the Natural Temperate Grassland (NTG) and Golden Sun Moth (GSM).  
  » Concern that ecological studies have been commissioned but not adequately addressed in development of the Strategy.  
  » Concern that the NTG are now at sub-marginal levels in the ACT and there should be no offsets of this threatened community in land development.  
  » Concern that there is no indication of when MNES might be considered. Further, if the NTG area is neglected or poorly managed, when the time comes for the quality of the site to be assessed its values may have deteriorated. It will then be easier to justify its destruction.  
  » Recommends that the NTG area and GSM habitat:  
    > should not be developed  
    > should be provided with an adequate buffer to mitigate against nearby urban impacts and receive improved management (as recommended by Dr Hodgkinson’s report). |

| Pedal Power ACT (no.S3)  
| John Armstrong, Executive Officer  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Received 27/3/15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Traffic:**  
  » Applauds the effort to ameliorate community concerns about traffic in the latest version of the Strategy.  
  » Identifies the site as being "ideally located for cycling journeys...It will be convenient for people to cycle to Woden for work, shopping and entertainment or to the Parliamentary triangle for work or to visit its national institutions...The old brickworks will attract people out for a ride" |
around the lake, with ready access via the main trunk route through the green belt in Yarralumla and via a potential link alongside Dunrossil Drive.”

» Considers that more should be done to make a strong planning statement that the Brickworks development is a premier location for active travel given the advantages that the site presents.  

» Suggests improvements to further support ‘active travel’ by providing:  
  > family-friendly separated cycle lanes rather than on-road lanes, on each side of the main road into and through the development, from the trunk cycle route at Novar Street to the Brickworks  
  > separated cycle lanes across the Mint interchange bridge to Deakin and the south bound trunk cycling route  
  > a three metre separated perimeter shared path around the development  
  > a separated cycle land from the development to Yarralumla shops.

Yarralumla Residents Association (YRA) (no.L6)  
Marea Fatseas, President  
Received 31/3/15

Overall:
» Acknowledges that the development proposal addresses some of the concerns raised by the community in our 2014 submission and petition, in particular those relating to the reinstatement of the Mint Interchange and some reduction in building height.  

» Expresses concern that the scale of the development continues to be centred on maximising the revenue from land sales to provide a 20% dividend on investment by the LDA.  

» States that urban infill of the scale proposed for Yarralumla cannot be justified on current need and future projections.

Traffic impacts:  
» Expresses concerns about the impact of the town centre scale development on traffic, existing street networks and parking in Yarralumla and surrounding suburbs. In particular, the proposed access roads between the development and existing Yarralumla, namely Woolls, Abbott, and Bentham Streets and Kintore Crescent.  

» Calls for a comprehensive traffic survey, with peer-reviewed modelling, of the existing suburb of Yarralumla, and adjacent suburbs to assess future traffic flows and impacts.  

Calls for a revised proposal that:
» addresses parking and traffic impacts within Yarralumla, as well as those that are relevant within the development site  

» minimises the duration and overall impacts on the community and environment that would be presented by the development of the new estate, the disruption to transport and commuting during construction of roads and the construction of a new interchange  

» precludes vehicles from rat running through Yarralumla.

Scale and density:  
» Considers that there is scope for modest scale medium density residential development on the proposed site that could provide additional housing options, for both Yarralumla residents and the broader public.  

» Expresses concern that there is no justification for an increase of 1800 new dwellings in the development.  

» States that the proposed density bears no relationship to Yarralumla’s existing character, including existing land use patterns, local street network, community facilities, and the built form.
- Calls for the development’s scale to be reduced to one in keeping with the character of Yarralumla and site topography, with a mix of dwellings and heights of no more than 3-4 storeys.

**Impacts on open space areas, natural landforms and biodiversity:**
- Expresses concern the development destroys valued open spaces, ridge buffer, walking trails and biodiversity.
- Calls for the retention of the current landforms, buffering of the development from the current suburb with connected natural parkland instead of a formal park along Denman Street, retention of continuous walking/cycling trails and of the golden sun moth and natural temperate grasslands within that corridor.

**National Capital impacts:**
- Expresses concern that the development contravenes the National Capital Plan and will impact adversely on the Main Avenue and Approach Route to the Governor General’s residence.
- Calls for a revised strategy for the Canberra Brickworks and Environs that is consistent with the National Capital Plan.

**Brickworks conservation and adaptation:**
- Concerned that the current proposal conflates Brickworks conservation and adaptation with residential development.
- Seeks transparency from the ACT Government on costings for preservation and adaptation of the core Canberra Brickworks elements, and calls for establishment of a formal trust, with Government funding, empowered to raise funds for the private sector and public.

**Consultation:**
- Notes that some but not all community concerns raised during the last consultation process have been addressed in the new proposal.
- Suggests the LDA and ACT Government continue to engage with Yarralumla and other affected residents to find a mutually acceptable solution.

**IGA Hughes (no.L7)**
Michael Makas, Director Vinidium Pty Ltd trading as IGA Hughes
Received 31/3/15

**Retail provision:**
-Welcomes the additional 3,000 residents expected to be attracted by the proposed development.
- Concern for the profitability of business owners operating in existing local shopping centres in Yarralumla, Deakin, Curtin and Hughes – due to the proposed 8,000 sqm and 2,600 sqm of retail/commercial space allocated to Deakin and Yarralumla for development.
- Concern that a new large supermarket on the site would be damaging to the four existing local shopping centres and would promote the sale of tobacco and liquor.
- Seeks commitment from Government: to make provision for a maximum space allocation of approximately 300 sqm per retail outlet; and to restrict the sale of tobacco or liquor in the proposed retail outlet/s.

**Deakin Residents Association (no.L11)**
Peter Wurfel, President
Received 4/4/15

**Deakin traffic impacts:**
- Supports the implementation of an effective interchange between Cotter Road and Adelaide Avenue/Yarra Glen.
- Agrees that a bus stop on Adelaide Avenue with good pedestrian access is a priority.
- Expresses concern that the SMEC traffic studies have not properly accounted for traffic impacts on Deakin, in particular the intersection of Kent Street and Strickland Crescent, and at Strickland Crescent and Denison Street.
» Concern that the removal of Dudley Street as a connection between Cotter Road and Novar Street will encourage increased use of Denison Street and the Mint Interchange by heavier vehicles.
» Requests further information regarding the Park’n’Ride facility.
» Seeks commitment for a new transport study that considers active travel and ageing in place.

**Deakin landscape impacts:**
» Expresses concern that building heights will cause overshadowing for local public space and existing residences.
» Will not support the development unless: adequate provision is made to replace existing treed open space with comparable open space amenity and building heights are controlled so as not to intrude on the horizons of residents in Deakin.

**Adelaide Avenue landscape aspects:**
» Welcomes the proposed “Clanthus Circle Parkland”.
» Proposes that new buildings should not be visible above the tree-line at Clanthus Circle.

**General planning principles for Canberra:**
» Expresses concern that the proposal ignores the “core design principle of Canberra” that requires the preservation of undeveloped ridge-lines as landscape elements between built-up areas.
» Suggests the proposed grid street layout be replaced with one that respects the existing landscape.

**Consultation:**
» Seeks to be involved in further consultation.

**National Trust (no.S13)**
Eric Martin, AM
Received 18/3/15

**Heritage:**
» The Trust notes some improvements on the previous master plan.
» Concern for the lost opportunity to better recognise the former railway alignment in the overall planning.
» Concern for the lack of a management strategy for the conservation of the Brickworks and its ongoing operation. The Trust supports the concepts for: restoration and adaption of the Brickworks; possible commercial or community space; making the Brickworks accessible to all Canberrans; and adding a major visitor attraction. However, issues including the ownership, feasibility and funding of the above options need to be resolved and clearly communicated.
» Concern that the site should be more integrated – for instance through a better integrated master plan for the Brickworks infrastructure and quarry.
» Concern that planning for the site fails to recognise the existing topography and places a planning grid across the proposed residential area.
» Concern that “the brickworks appears to be a heritage problem to be dealt with rather than considering it as the hub and focus of the development.”

**Inner South Canberra Community Council (no.L14)**
Gary Kent, Chair
Received 4/4/15

**Overall:**
» ISCCC does not support the revised CB+E Strategy in its current form – “...it is the wrong development on the wrong site. It does not sufficiently respect local environmental and heritage and national capital values.”
» ISCCC fully supports the submissions made on the CB+E Strategy by the YRA and DRA.
» A public meeting held by the YRA on 15 March 2015 called for:
  > a rethink of the urban design to ensure something more in character with the suburb, and address impacts on highly valued urban open space
  > a reduction in the density of the development
  > more government action to address the development’s impacts on traffic, existing street
networks and parking in Yarralumla and surrounding suburbs

- ensuring that adaptive reuse of the Brickworks is at the core of any plan
- retention of highly used walking trails/continuous walking loop
- connectivity between natural parklands rather than isolated formal parks.

**Local considerations:**
- Concern with lack of comprehensive statement of policy and principles for the development.
- Concern with proposed scale of development, “excessive” number of residents, and lack of amenity.
- Concern with proposed cut and fill, removal of mature trees and existing well used walking tracks.
- **Supports improvements in proposed traffic arrangements,** but notes traffic monitoring will be required with a view to potential future remodelling as needed.

**Recommends that:**
- The residential development needs to be significantly scaled back and revised to present a look and feel that is compatible with the neighbouring Yarralumla and Deakin suburbs.
- The proposed development should articulate a modern, liveable and sustainable suburb rather than an initiative clearly designed to maximise revenues.

**Inter-city traffic issues:**
- Concern that the inner south is experiencing sustained increases in traffic volumes to the detriment of local and regional communities and that the proposal will contribute further to this.

**Recommends that:**
- Traffic and transport design and management issues associated with the development need to be re-assessed, taking into account local, inner south and regional implications.

**National Capital impacts:**
- Concern that the proposal does not meet national capital requirements / address concerns previously raised in relation to the National Capital Open Space System, ridges and buffers, vistas and views. Particular issues include: the importance of retaining existing land contours and the buffer which constitutes the buffer in vista from Woden; and proposed changes to the ceremonial access to the Governor-General’s residence.

**Recommends that** The Strategy should fully consider and reflect the vital national capital and environmental values of the precinct.

**Territory budget issues:**
- Concern “that financial considerations appear to be driving the scale and shape of this development, to the detriment of the national capital values which have served Canberra so well for many decades.”

**Recommends that:**
- The ACT Government should urgently review the Territory’s financial arrangements with a view to reducing its dependence on land sales, leading to inappropriate and short term planning and development imperatives.

**See-Change Inner South (no.L15)**
Gillian King
Undated

**Overall planning:**
- Expresses grave concern that land development in Canberra continues to proceed in isolation from broader planning, especially in relation to planning for sustainability and transport.
- **Acknowledges that the current proposal for development of the Canberra Brickworks and environs contain some improvements on the previous proposal yet some aspects are much worse.**
- The See-Change submission makes further detailed comments and recommendations on each of the following:

**Vision for the future:**
- Recommends the re-development of the Canberra Brickworks and development of the area
**Self sufficiency (energy, water and sewage, food):**

- Recommends the development use a genuine triple bottom line approach that includes equal consideration of sustainability and social principles along with economic ones, in planning for the area and the siting, design and construction of buildings and other infrastructure.
- Recommends that planning for development of the Canberra Brickworks and environs comply with:
  - Climate Change Action Plan 2
  - ACT Territory Plan (including the Sustainable Development Principles)
  - ACT Planning Strategy, ACT Transport of Canberra
  - Water Sensitive Urban Design General Code as a minimum standard
  - Planning for Bushfire Risk Mitigation General Code.

**Social, business and liveability:**

- Expresses that the LDA need to ensure that national significance and public good considerations of the Canberra Brickworks and environs as a contribution to Canberra’s tourism and residential well-being be given prominence.

**Consultation:**

- Recommends that take place in a transparent, whole-of-government manner, led by the ACT Environment and Planning Directorate.
- Seeks to be involved in further consultation.

**Molonglo Catchment Group Inc. (no.L16)**

Bernie Bugden, Catchment Coordinator

Received 2/4/15

**Planning context:**

- Endorses the need for contextual planning alignment of the CB+E Strategy to: improve the city’s efficiency, resilience to change and environmental sustainability by designing and incorporating innovative technologies and clean initiatives into the physical infrastructure; and value the land and natural resources of the region by working collaboratively to manage urban growth, ensure connectivity and continuity in natural systems.

**Balancing natural resource management and water quality with urban amenity:**

- Reinforces the need to adopt development principles that:
  - Develop resilient ecological landscapes
  - Conserve and mimic critical ecological processes
  - Mitigate the cumulative impacts of development
  - Strengthen the “eco-civic” capacities of communities
  - Facilitates collaborative approaches to development decisions
  - Respects Aboriginal, European and natural heritage
  - Positions the nation’s “bush capital” as a model of the above practices.
- Makes specific comments and recommendations on:
  - Hydro-geological impacts
  - Development staging
  - Water sensitive urban design
  - Soil and water management
  - Ecological land management
  - Monitoring of Yarralumla Creek
  - Climate Change impacts
  - Aboriginal cultural heritage

**Consultation:**
» Seeks to be involved in further consultation.

**Australian Institute of Landscape Architects (no.L25)**  
Amanda Evans, AILA ACT Chapter President  
Received 4/4/15

**Landscape outcomes:**
» Supports the Strategy’s vision to create a vibrant new community around a revitalised Canberra Brickworks Complex. However, AILA considers that the Strategy proposes development that does not deliver the high quality public real benefits as per the vision statement.
» Concern that the Strategy:
  > Disregards the intent of the Territory Government and National Capital Authority’s guidelines with regards to open spaces the vegetated nature of hills, buffers and ridges
  > Extinguishes a healthy established vegetated landscape element that is an intrinsic component of Canberra’s spatial quality and urban form
  > Imposes upon the site, and on the broader landscape, an excessive number of multi-storey buildings, that at a significantly larger scale than the existing urban form
  > Diminishes the heritage value associated with Dunrossil Drive and the nationally significant symbolism of the vice regal activities associated with Government House
  > Appears not to incorporate consultation outcomes in the critical areas of existing vegetated open space and hills, buffers and ridges; existing planting arrangements; proposed building footprints, height and locations; and proposed road and pedestrian networks.
» The AILA submission makes specific comments and recommendations on:
  > Landscape buffer to surrounding visual catchments
  > Proposed landform, plantings and soil conditions
  > Dunrossil Drive axis
  > Green infrastructure and WSUD
  > Building yield, heights and footprints
  > Rectilinear grid form
  > Internal block activation
» AILA seeks to be involved in further consultation. Suggests a series of design charrettes.

**Lane Poole Place Residents (no.L28)**  
Robert Winnel, Resident  
Received 2/4/15

**Overall:**
» Many residents of Lane Poole Place are not opposed in principle to the CB+E Strategy. However, several residents seek modification of the proposal to address the issues a number of concerns (outlined below), in order to support ongoing residential amenity in the locality.

**Interface between townhouses and Brickworks:**
» Concern that the design of the park within the old brick-pit will adversely impact on current owners adjacent to the brick-pit site
» Requests for further consultation with townhouse residents once the preliminary design for the park has been prepared.

**Proposed building too close:**
» Concern that some of the proposed buildings at the northern end of the Brickworks site are too close to existing dwellings and to the proposed communal pathway
» Suggests that the relocation of the nearest new building to be another 20 metres further south.
First building at interface too high:
» Recommends that a more appropriate interface with the Lane Poole properties would contain a
two storey element between the first new building and existing housing, which is predominantly
single storey.

Landscaped corridor:
» Recommendations for a landscaped pedestrian corridor between Lane Poole Place and Dunrossil
Drive in order to increase residential amenity and address the increased pedestrian needs of
future residents.

New Brickworks road:
» Concern that the proposed north-south road, which connects to the proposed new buildings
near existing housing in Lane Poole Place, may have a negative impact on existing residential
amenity and safety by creating a vehicular short-cut to Yarralumla shops.

ACT Heritage Council (no.S29)
Jennifer O’Connell, Secretary
Received 2/4/15

CB+E Conservation Development Strategy:
» The Council supports the primary heritage objective for the site, to establish a future use that
ensures the physical conservation and retention of key heritage values in the longer term.
» Queries the extent of demolition proposed for the area around the Machine Bays (page 8 of the
Strategy), and notes that while demolition of skillion roof structures between the machine bays
and the kilns is not precluded in the Conservation Management Plan, the retention of these
roofs provides for continued interpretation of the development of the site and could provide
space for activities associated with the adaptive reuse of the site. The Conservation Policy 9
states that generally retention of supporting elements (such as the Machine Bays) is preferred.
Further, the Machine Bays are identified as elements of moderate significance in the ACT
Heritage Register. Justification for demolition of these structures would be required.
» Recommends that the Hardy Patent and Downdraft Kilns be retained and adapted as both are
identified within the ACT Heritage Register Entry as Schedule 1 Elements of Exceptional
Significance and features intrinsic to the significance of the place. Justification for demolition of
these structures would be required.
» Identifies the need for a detailed recording and suitable interpretation of the historical
significance of the Brickworks Accommodation Village site, as per the Heritage Guidelines for
the Brickworks.
» Identifies the need for a predictive historical archaeological assessment to be undertaken for
the study area and abutting sites – that identifies the relative potential for sub-surface remains
on the site and their likely nature and significance; an Archaeological Management Plan may
also be required. This should be undertaken as soon as possible to inform master planning for
the site / prior to commencement of onsite works.

Open space / further consultation:
» The Council notes the proposed landscape treatment of the former Railway Remnants and
Quarry and welcomes further discussion regarding Railway Remnants Park and Quarry Park as
the project develops.

The ACT Equestrian Association Incorporated (ACTEA) (no.S30)
Beth Stone, Secretary
Received 2/4/15

Landscape Strategy:
» Concern that the parkland corridor between the Royal Canberra Golf Club and the Brickwork
refers only to a pedestrian path. This route is currently used by horse riders and in our earlier
submissions we emphasised we wished to see development plans allow not only for green
space for pedestrian and cyclist use but also for recreational horse rider use.
» ACTEA reiterates the desire for recreational horse rider use particularly to apply to the route
across Dunrossil Drive, around the RCGC boundary and to Weston Park.

Makes specific recommendations relating to the access route.

### Consultation:
- Concern that planning for the CB+E is ignoring submissions from the equestrian community which has a traditional use of the area. Seeks indication that their requests are being taken seriously as part of the planning process.
- Seeks to be involved in further consultation.

### Living Streets Canberra (no.S72)
Leon Arundell, Chair
Received 4/4/15

#### Community and recreation facilities:
- Expresses concern that the proposed development will remove the existing playground and park between Thesiger Court and Adelaide Avenue.
- States that this is contrary to Section 3.2 of the Community and Recreation Facilities Location Guidelines General Code, which states that “ACT City Operations aims for residential areas to be within 400 metres of local parks with playground facilities”.

#### Walking and cycling access:
- Suggests the development should include, or at least should not preclude, a direct link between Thesiger Court and the Adelaide Avenue off-road shared path and on-road cycle lanes.
- Notes that this link was the most cost-effective of the 200 trunk walking and cycling projects that were evaluated in 2011.
- Suggests a direct shared path across Dunrossil Drive, the Cotter Road and the Yarralumla Creek to reduce the distance to the nearest major supermarket at Curtin shops.

#### Public transport:
- Notes that new bus stops near the intersection of the Cotter Road and the new Brickworks Road will improve bus access.
- Comments that public transport links to employment in Deakin, Parkes and Barton are poor.
- Proposes locating a bus stop in the median of Yamba Drive to reduce travel times to Woden and Civic. Suggests that this would require addressing safe access and security issues.

**NB Comments of support are shown in the above table in green text.**
D Coding sheet
**Coding Sheet**

1. **Key comment and issues**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>1. Traffic generation and management – general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Traffic generation and management – specific mention of locations / streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Parking problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Type and level of residential development (concerns about height and or density) – general</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Type and level of residential development (concerns about height and or density) – specific mention of locations / building heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Character and amenity impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Public transport provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Access and connections for pedestrians and cyclists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9. Community infrastructure provision (including shops)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Canberra Brickworks Conservation and Adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. National Capital Plan impacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12. Consultation process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13. Concern about open space areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14. Concern about potential sustainability impacts (eg loss of flora / fauna)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15. Construction impacts / staging / contamination and remediation management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17. Other issues / concerns raised in relation to the Planning and Development Strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Positive comments and suggestions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>1. Support for proposed infill development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Support for proposed new housing / more housing choice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Support for proposed parks and open spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Support for proposed pedestrian / cycle access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Support for proposed improvements to local road network / traffic management measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Comments on the vision for the Brickworks / suggested opportunities for the future ie character and activities (such as creative hub)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Other positive comments / suggestions about the Planning and Development Strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>