

Investigation Summary Report

Client	Suburban Land Agency	Project No.	231354.01
Project	Proposed Development	Date	2 September 2024
Address	Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff ACT	Reference	R.001.Rev0

1. Introduction

It is understood that the Suburban Land Agency (SLA) is preparing to sell Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff ('the site') and that geotechnical reporting inclusive of a site classification is required to provide potential purchasers information on the subsurface conditions, so that purchasers can make their own assessments of excavations and foundations. It is further understood that any proposed development will likely consist of multi-unit residential developments which would include a single basement carpark.

This report must be read in conjunction with all attachments, included both the limitations and the notes 'About This Report'.

2. Site Description

The site is located within the suburb of Moncrieff in Canberra's north, and consists of a near-rectangular shape, with an approximate area of 4,154 m² and maximum dimensions of 108 m and 43 m. In general, site levels fall slightly from the south to north across the block.

At the time of site investigation, the block was clear and vacant, with a metal fence located along the southern boundary of the block. Grassy vegetation was growing across most of the block, while tree saplings were located within the verge along the northern, eastern and western boundaries of the block. A large sign was located within the north-western corner of the block.

Figure 1 on the following page illustrates site conditions at the time of fieldwork.



Figure 1: View looking north-east across the block.

3. Regional Geology

Reference to BMR (1992) indicates that the site is underlain by the Canberra Formation, which typically comprises of sedimentary rocks including mudstone, siltstone and sandstone, with possible limestone, hornfels, dacitic ignimbrite and volcanoclastic sediments.

4. Field Work Methodology

The field work comprised the excavation of 5 test pits (Pits 1 – 5) using a Sumitomo 235 excavator (~25 tonne) fitted with 1.1 m wide toothed bucket to depths of 2.5 – 5.1 m. Within Pits 1, 2, 4 and 5, a single-tyne ripper was attached to extend the test pits below the depth of bucket refusal.

The test pits were logged onsite by a Douglas undergraduate geotechnical engineer, under the direct supervision of an experienced geotechnical engineer. Regular disturbed samples were collected to assist in strata identification and for potential (future) laboratory testing. Dynamic cone penetrometer tests (DCP, AS 1289 6.3.2:1997) were also undertaken from the surface adjacent to each test pit to provide an indication of the in situ strength of the near-surface soils.

The test pit coordinates (MGA2020) and reduced levels (AHD) were determined on site using an Emlid Reach RS2 dGPS, typically accurate to ± 0.5 m. However, it is noted that Douglas are not registered surveyors, and as such all coordinates must be considered approximately only. The test locations are shown on Drawing 1 attached to this report.

5. Field Work Results

5.1 Subsurface Conditions

Details of the subsurface conditions encountered are summarised in the test pit logs attached to this report, which must be read in conjunction with the accompanied explanatory notes that define classification methods and descriptive terms. The test pits encountered homogenous subsurface conditions underlying the site with the general principal succession of strata as follows:

- TOPSOIL FILL: silty/clayey topsoil fill to 0.2 – 0.4 m depth in all pits.
- SILT: dry to moist, very stiff to hard sandy silt, to depths of 0.5 – 0.8 m in Pits 1, 2 and 4.
- CLAY: typically moist to dry, very stiff to hard, medium to high plasticity sandy/silty clay, encountered from depths of 0.2 – 0.8 m to depths of 0.7 – 2.5 m in all pits.
- WEATHERED ROCK: siltstone, encountered below the clay layers in all pits. Initially low to medium and medium strength and highly to moderately weathered but becoming medium to very high strength and moderately to slightly weathered from depths of 1.0 – 4.8 m. Bucket slow progress or refusal was encountered at depths of 1.1 – 4.7 m in Pits 1, 2, 4 and 5, while ripper refusal was encountered at depths of 4.8 m in Pit 2 and 2.5 m in Pit 5. The remaining pits were excavated to the limit of excavation depth of ~5.0 m.

5.2 Groundwater

During the investigation, the test pits were left open and then backfilled prior to leaving site to allow for groundwater observations to be made. As such, the pits were open for a period of one to three hours to observe for any seepages.

Free groundwater (in the form of light seepages) were observed at depths of 2.3 m and 4.6 m in Pits 1 and 3 respectively, while no free groundwater was observed within the other test pits. However, it is noted that groundwater conditions rarely remain constant and can change seasonally due to variations in rainfall, temperature and soil permeability. Furthermore, the test pits were backfilled prior to leaving site, precluding long-term monitoring of groundwater levels. For these reasons, it is noted that the moisture condition of the site soils may vary considerably from the time of the investigation compared to at the time of construction.

6. Site Classification

The site (as of the time of site investigation) is classified as worst-case Class M (moderately reactive) due to deep clayey soils over a large portion of the site and based on limited subsurface information and determined in general accordance with the requirements of AS 2870:2011. It must be noted that parts of the site (i.e. near Pit 5) would be equivalent to Class S (slightly reactive) conditions due to the shallow depth to rock within these areas.

The classification **must** be reassessed should the soil profile change either by adding fill or removing soil from the block and/or if the presence of service trenches or retaining walls

are within the zone of influence of the block. Reference must be made to the comments provided below.

7. Footing Systems

Reference must be made to AS 2870:2011 which indicates footing systems that are appropriate for each site classification or otherwise designed based on first principles by a structural engineer. All footings must be found within a uniform bearing stratum of suitable strength/material, below the zone of influence of any service trenches, backfill zones, retaining walls or underground structures. Masonry walls should be articulated in accordance with current best practice.

Dwelling design will need to ensure suitable drainage and uniform moisture conditions are maintained in the vicinity of the footings otherwise footing performance would be compromised.

Footing systems must be confirmed by a structural engineer taking into consideration any onsite or offsite constraints.

8. Comments

- Additional topsoils/fill may have been spread subsequent to the investigation.
- Some variability in subsurface conditions must be anticipated.
- Site preparation prior to the construction of a structure should include removal of all vegetation, topsoil, uncontrolled fill, existing service pipes, footings and associated backfill material.
- Rock excavation must be expected in order to construct a basement.
- All new fill must be placed under controlled conditions (AS 3798:2007). If fill is placed uncontrolled, those areas would require a Class P site classification and deemed not suitable to support loading.
- It is recommended that footing excavations be inspected by a geotechnical engineer.
- Moisture condition of site soils and/or the presence of groundwater may vary considerably from time of investigation compared to at the time of construction. Groundwater seepages are highly likely after heavy or prolonged rain.
- Consideration must be given to the performance of service pipes should they be installed in fill.

9. References

AS 2870. (2011). *Residential Slabs and Footings*. Standards Australia.

BMR. (1992). *Geology of Canberra 1:100 000 Geological Series Sheet 8727*. Bureau of Mineral Resources.

If you have any questions, please contact the undersigned.

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd



Alastair Hirsch

Experienced Geotechnical Engineer

Reviewed by



Michael Jones

Principal

Attachments:

Limitations
About This Report
Drawing 1 – Test Location Plan
Explanatory Notes
Test Pit Logs (Pits 1 – 5)

Limitations

Douglas Partners Pty Ltd (Douglas) has prepared this factual report for this project at Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff ACT in line with Douglas' proposal dated 14 August 2024 and acceptance received from the Suburban Land Agency dated 14 August 2024. The work was carried out under Douglas' Engagement Terms. This report is provided for the exclusive use of Suburban Land Agency for this project only and for the purposes as described in the report. It should not be used by or relied upon for other projects or purposes on the same or other site or by a third party. Any party so relying upon this report beyond its exclusive use and purpose as stated above, and without the express written consent of Douglas, does so entirely at its own risk and without recourse to Douglas for any loss or damage. In preparing this report Douglas has necessarily relied upon information provided by the client and/or their agents.

The results provided in the report are indicative of the sub-surface conditions on the site only at the specific sampling and/or testing locations, and then only to the depths investigated and at the time the work was carried out. Sub-surface conditions can change abruptly due to variable geological processes and also as a result of human influences. Such changes may occur after Douglas' field testing has been completed.

Douglas' advice is based upon the conditions encountered during this investigation. The accuracy of the advice provided by Douglas in this report may be affected by undetected variations in ground conditions across the site between and beyond the sampling and/or testing locations. The advice may also be limited by budget constraints imposed by others or by site accessibility.

The assessment of atypical safety hazards arising from this advice is restricted to the geotechnical components set out in this report and based on known project conditions and stated design advice and assumptions. While some recommendations for safe controls may be provided, detailed 'safety in design' assessment is outside the current scope of this report and requires additional project data and assessment.

The scope of work for this investigation/report did not include the assessment of surface or sub-surface materials or groundwater for contaminants, within or adjacent to the site. Should evidence of fill of unknown origin be noted in the report, and in particular the presence of building demolition materials, it should be recognised that there may be some risk that such fill may contain contaminants and hazardous building materials.

This report must be read in conjunction with all of the attached and should be kept in its entirety without separation of individual pages or sections. Douglas cannot be held responsible for interpretations or conclusions made by others unless they are supported by an expressed statement, interpretation, outcome or conclusion stated in this report.

This report, or sections from this report, should not be used as part of a specification for a project, without review and agreement by Douglas. This is because this report has been written as advice and opinion rather than instructions for construction.

Introduction

These notes have been provided to amplify DP's report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and the comments section. Not all are necessarily relevant to all reports.

DP's reports are based on information gained from limited subsurface excavations and sampling, supplemented by knowledge of local geology and experience. For this reason, they must be regarded as interpretive rather than factual documents, limited to some extent by the scope of information on which they rely.

Copyright

This report is the property of Douglas Partners Pty Ltd. The report may only be used for the purpose for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Conditions of Engagement for the commission supplied at the time of proposal. Unauthorised use of this report in any form whatsoever is prohibited.

Borehole and Test Pit Logs

The borehole and test pit logs presented in this report are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will provide the most reliable assessment, but this is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case the boreholes and test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface profile.

Interpretation of the information and its application to design and construction should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or pits, the frequency of sampling, and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the test locations.

Groundwater

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes there are several potential problems, namely:

- In low permeability soils groundwater may enter the hole very slowly or perhaps not at all during the time the hole is left open;
- A localised, perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table;
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes. They may not be the same at

the time of construction as are indicated in the report; and

- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must first be washed out of the hole if water measurements are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read at intervals over several days, or perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from a perched water table.

Reports

The report has been prepared by qualified personnel, is based on the information obtained from field and laboratory testing, and has been undertaken to current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal, the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed. If this happens, DP will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Every care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical and environmental aspects, and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, DP cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions. The potential for this will depend partly on borehole or pit spacing and sampling frequency;
- Changes in policy or interpretations of policy by statutory authorities; or
- The actions of contractors responding to commercial pressures.

If these occur, DP will be pleased to assist with investigations or advice to resolve the matter.

continued next page

About this Report

Site Anomalies



In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, DP requests that it be immediately notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

Information for Contractual Purposes

Where information obtained from this report is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. DP would be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Site Inspection



The company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical and environmental aspects of work to which this report is related. This could range from a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are as expected, to full time engineering presence on site.






SITE LOCATION

LEGEND

-  Approximate Site Boundary
-  Approximate Test Pit Location
- NE: Not Encountered
- RNA: Ripper Not Attached




NOTE:
 1. Drawing projection in GDA2020 / MGA zone 55, adapted from aerial imagery from MetroMap dated 26.07.2024.
 2. Test locations are approximate only and were located using differential GPS.



CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency	
OFFICE: Canberra	DRAWN BY: FM
SCALE: 1:400 @A3	DATE: 02.September.2024

TITLE: **Test Location Plan**
Proposed Development
Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff ACT



PROJECT:	231354.01
DRAWING No:	1
REVISION:	0



Introduction to Terminology, Symbols and Abbreviations

Douglas Partners' reports, investigation logs, and other correspondence may use terminology which has quantitative or qualitative connotations. To remove ambiguity or uncertainty surrounding the use of such terms, the following sets of notes pages may be attached Douglas Partners' reports, depending on the work performed and conditions encountered:

- Soil Descriptions;
- Rock Descriptions; and
- Sampling, insitu testing, and drilling methodologies

In addition to these pages, the following notes generally apply to most documents.

Abbreviation Codes

Site conditions may also be presented in a number of different formats, such as investigation logs, field mapping, or as a written summary. In some of these formats textual or symbolic terminology may be presented using textual abbreviation codes or graphic symbols, and, where commonly used, these are listed alongside the terminology definition. For ease of identification in these note pages, textual codes are presented in these notes in the following style **XW**. Code usage conforms with the following guidelines:

- Textual codes are case insensitive, although herein they are generally presented in upper case; and
- Textual codes are contextual (i.e. the same or similar combinations of characters may be used in different contexts with different meanings (for example `PL` is used for plastic limit in the context of soil moisture condition, as well as in `PL(A)` for point load test result in the testing results column)).


Data Integrity Codes

Subsurface investigation data recorded by Douglas Partners is generally managed in a highly structured database environment, where records "span" between a top and bottom depth interval. Depth interval "gaps" between records are considered to introduce ambiguity, and, where appropriate, our practice guidelines may require contiguous data sets. Recording meaningful data is not always appropriate (for example assigning a "strength" to a concrete pavement) and the following codes may be used to maintain contiguity in such circumstances.

Term	Description	Abbreviation Code
Core loss	No core recovery	KL
Unknown	Information was not available to allow classification of the property. For example, when auguring in loose, saturated sand auger cuttings may not be returned.	UK
No data	Information required to allow classification of the property was not available. For example if drilling is commenced from the base of a hole predrilled by others	ND
Not Applicable	Derivation of the properties not appropriate or beyond the scope of the investigation. For example providing a description of the strength of a concrete pavement	NA

Graphic Symbols

Douglas Partners' logs contain a "graphic" column which provides a pictorial representation of the basic composition of the material. The symbols used are directly representing the material name stated in the adjacent "Description of Strata" column, and as such no specific graphic symbology legend has been provided in these notes.





Introduction

All materials which are not considered to be “in-situ rock” are described in general accordance with the soil description model of AS 1726-2017 Part 6.1.3, and can be broken down into the following description structure:



The “classification” comprises a two character “group symbol” providing a general summary of dominant soil characteristics. The “name” summarises the particle sizes within the soil which most influence its behaviour. The detailed description presents more information about composition, condition, structure, and origin of the soil.

Classification, naming and description of soils require the relative proportion of particles of different sizes within the whole soil mixture to be considered.

Particle size designation and Behaviour Model

Solid particles within a soil are differentiated on the basis of size.

The engineering behaviour properties of a soil can subsequently be modelled to be either “fine grained” (also known as “cohesive” behaviour) or “coarse grained” (“non cohesive” behaviour), depending on the relative proportion of fine or coarse fractions in the soil mixture.

Particle Size Designation	Particle Size (mm)	Behaviour Model	
		Behaviour	Approximate Dry Mass
Boulder	>200	Excluded from particle behaviour model as “oversize”	
Cobble	63 - 200		
Gravel ¹	2.36 - 63	Coarse	>65%
Sand ¹	0.075 - 2.36		
Silt	0.002 - 0.075	Fine	>35%
Clay	<0.002		

¹ – refer grain size subdivision descriptions below

The behaviour model boundaries defined above are not precise, and the material behaviour should be assumed from the name given to the material (which considers the particle fraction which dominates the behaviour, refer “component proportions” below), rather than strict observance of the proportions of particle sizes. For example, if a material is named a “Sandy CLAY”, this is indicative that the material exhibits fine grained behaviour, even if the dry mass of coarse grained material may exceed 65%.

Component proportions

The relative proportion of the dry mass of each particle size fraction is assessed to be a “primary”, “secondary”, or “minor” component of the soil mixture, depending on its influence over the soil behaviour.

Component Proportion Designation	Definition ¹	Relative Proportion	
		In Fine Grained Soil	In Coarse Grained Soil
Primary	The component (particle size designation, refer above) which dominates the engineering behaviour of the soil	The clay/silt component with the greater proportion	The sand/gravel component with the greater proportion
Secondary	Any component which is not the primary, but is significant to the engineering properties of the soil	Any component with greater than 30% proportion	Any granular component with greater than 30%; or Any fine component with greater than 12%
Minor ²	Present in the soil, but not significant to its engineering properties	All other components	All other components

¹ As defined in AS1726-2017 6.1.4.4

² In the detailed material description, minor components are split into two further sub-categories. Refer “identification of minor components” below.

Composite Materials

In certain situations, a lithology description may describe more than one material, for example, collectively describing a layer of interbedded sand and clay. In such a scenario, the two materials would be described independently, with the names preceded or followed by a statement describing the arrangement by which the materials co-exist. For example, “INTERBEDDED Silty CLAY AND SAND”.

Classification

The soil classification comprises a two character group symbol. The first character identifies the primary component. The second character identifies either the grading or presence of fines in a coarse grained soil, or the plasticity in a fine grained soil. Refer AS1726-2017 6.1.6 for further clarification.

Soil Name

For most soils, the name is derived with the primary component included as the noun (in upper case), preceded by any secondary components stated in an adjective form. In this way, the soil name also describes the general composition and indicates the dominant behaviour of the material.

Component ¹	Prominence in Soil Name
Primary	Noun (eg "CLAY")
Secondary	Adjective modifier (eg "Sandy")
Minor	No influence

¹ – for determination of component proportions, refer component proportions on previous page

For materials which cannot be disaggregated, or which are not comprised of rock or mineral fragments, the names "ORGANIC MATTER" or "ARTIFICIAL MATERIAL" may be used, in accordance with AS1726-2017 Table 14.

Commercial or colloquial names are not used for the soil name where a component derived name is possible (for example "Gravelly SAND" rather than "CRACKER DUST").

Materials of "fill" or "topsoil" origin are generally assigned a name derived from the primary/secondary component (where appropriate). In log descriptions this is preceded by uppercase "FILL" or "TOPSOIL". Origin uncertainty is indicated in the description by the characters (?), with the degree of uncertainty described (using the terms "probably" or "possibly" in the origin column, or at the end of the description).

Identification of minor components

Minor components are identified in the soil description immediately following the soil name. The minor component fraction is usually preceded with a term indicating the relative proportion of the component.

Minor Component Proportion Term	Relative Proportion	
	In Fine Grained Soil	In Coarse Grained Soil
With	All fractions: 15-30%	Clay/silt: 5-12% sand/gravel: 15-30%
Trace	All fractions: 0-15%	Clay/silt: 0-5% sand/gravel: 0-15%

The terms "with" and "trace" generally apply only to gravel or fine particle fractions. Where cobbles/boulders are encountered in minor proportions (generally less than about 12%) the term "occasional" may be used. This term describes the sporadic distribution of the material within the confines of the investigation excavation only, and there may be considerable variation in proportion over a wider area which is difficult to factually characterise due to the relative size of the particles and the investigation methods.

Soil Composition

Plasticity

Descriptive Term	Laboratory liquid limit range	
	Silt	Clay
Non-plastic materials	Not applicable	Not applicable
Low plasticity	≤50	≤35
Medium plasticity	Not applicable	>35 and ≤50
High plasticity	>50	>50

Note, Plasticity descriptions generally describe the plasticity behaviour of the whole of the fine grained soil, not individual fine grained fractions.

Grain Size

Type	Particle size (mm)	
	Gravel	Coarse
	Medium	6.7 - 19
	Fine	2.36 - 6.7
Sand	Coarse	0.6 - 2.36
	Medium	0.21 - 0.6
	Fine	0.075 - 0.21

Grading

Grading Term	Particle size (mm)
Well	A good representation of all particle sizes
Poorly	An excess or deficiency of particular sizes within the specified range
Uniformly	Essentially of one size
Gap	A deficiency of a particular size or size range within the total range

Note, AS1726-2017 provides terminology for additional attributes not listed here.

Soil Condition

Moisture

The moisture condition of soils is assessed relative to the plastic limit for fine grained soils, while for coarse grained soils it is assessed based on the appearance and feel of the material. The moisture condition of a material is considered to be independent of stratigraphy (although commonly these are related), and this data is presented in its own column on logs.

Applicability	Term	Tactile Assessment	Abbreviation code
Fine	Dry of plastic limit	Hard and friable or powdery	w<PL
	Near plastic limit	Can be moulded	w=PL
	Wet of plastic limit	Water residue remains on hands when handling	w>PL
	Near liquid limit	"oozes" when agitated	w=LL
	Wet of liquid limit	"oozes"	w>LL
Coarse	Dry	Non-cohesive and free running	D
	Moist	Feels cool, darkened in colour, particles may stick together	M
	Wet	Feels cool, darkened in colour, particles may stick together, free water forms when handling	W

The abbreviation code **NDF**, meaning "not-assessable due to drilling fluid use" may also be used.

Note, observations relating to free ground water or drilling fluids are provided independent of soil moisture condition.

Consistency/Density/Compaction/Cementation/Extremely Weathered Material

These concepts give an indication of how the material may respond to applied forces (when considered in conjunction with other attributes of the soil). This behaviour can vary independent of the composition of the material, and on logs these are described in an independent column and are generally mutually exclusive (i.e it is inappropriate to describe both consistency and compaction at the same time). The method by which the behaviour is described depends on the behaviour model and other characteristics of the soil as follows:

- In fine grained soils, the "consistency" describes the ease with which the soil can be remoulded, and is generally correlated against the materials undrained shear strength;
- In granular materials, the relative density describes how tightly packed the particles are, and is generally correlated against the density index;
- In anthropogenically modified materials, the compaction of the material is described qualitatively;
- In cemented soils (both natural and anthropogenic), the cemented "strength" is described qualitatively, relative to the difficulty with which the material is disaggregated; and
- In soils of extremely weathered material origin, the engineering behaviour may be governed by relic rock features, and expected behaviour needs to be assessed based the overall material description.

Quantitative engineering performance of these materials may be determined by laboratory testing or estimated by correlated field tests (for example penetration or shear vane testing). In some cases, performance may be assessed by tactile or other subjective methods, in which case investigation logs will show the estimated value enclosed in round brackets, for example **(VS)**.

Consistency (fine grained soils)

Consistency Term	Tactile Assessment	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	Abbreviation Code
Very soft	Extrudes between fingers when squeezed	<12	VS
Soft	Mouldable with light finger pressure	>12 - ≤25	S
Firm	Mouldable with strong finger pressure	>25 - ≤50	F
Stiff	Cannot be moulded by fingers	>50 - ≤100	St
Very stiff	Indented by thumbnail	>100 - ≤200	VSt
Hard	Indented by thumbnail with difficulty	>200	H
Friable	Easily crumbled or broken into small pieces by hand	-	Fr

Relative Density (coarse grained soils)

Relative Density Term	Density Index	Abbreviation Code
Very loose	<15	VL
Loose	>15 - ≤35	L
Medium dense	>35 - ≤65	MD
Dense	>65 - ≤85	D
Very dense	>85	VD

Note, tactile assessment of relative density is difficult, and generally requires penetration testing, hence a tactile assessment guide is not provided.

Compaction (anthropogenically modified soil)

Compaction Term	Abbreviation Code
Well compacted	WC
Poorly compacted	PC
Moderately compacted	MC
Variably compacted	VC

Cementation (natural and anthropogenic)

Cementation Term	Abbreviation Code
Moderately cemented	MOD
Weakly cemented	WEK

Extremely Weathered Material

AS1726-2017 considers weathered material to be soil if the unconfined compressive strength is less than 0.6 MPa (i.e. less than very low strength rock). These materials may be identified as “extremely weathered material” in reports and by the abbreviation code **XWM** on log sheets. This identification is not correlated to any specific qualitative or quantitative behaviour, and the engineering properties of this material must therefore be assessed according to engineering principles with reference to any relic rock structure, fabric, or texture described in the description.

Soil Origin

Term	Description	Abbreviation Code
Residual	Derived from in-situ weathering of the underlying rock	RS
Extremely weathered material	Formed from in-situ weathering of geological formations. Has strength of less than ‘very low’ as per as1726 but retains the structure or fabric of the parent rock.	XWM
Alluvial	Deposited by streams and rivers	ALV
Fluvial	Deposited by channel fill and overbank (natural levee, crevasse splay or flood basin)	FLV
Estuarine	Deposited in coastal estuaries	EST
Marine	Deposited in a marine environment	MAR
Lacustrine	Deposited in freshwater lakes	LAC
Aeolian	Carried and deposited by wind	AEO
Colluvial	Soil and rock debris transported down slopes by gravity	COL
Slopewash	Thin layers of soil and rock debris gradually and slowly deposited by gravity and possibly water	SW
Topsoil	Mantle of surface soil, often with high levels of organic material	TOP
Fill	Any material which has been moved by man	FILL
Littoral	Deposited on the lake or seashore	LIT
Unidentifiable	Not able to be identified	UID

Cobbles and Boulders

The presence of particles considered to be “oversize” may be described using one of the following strategies:

- Oversize encountered in a minor proportion (when considered relative to the wider area) are noted in the soil description; or
- Where a significant proportion of oversize is encountered, the cobbles/boulders are described independent of the soil description, in a similar manner to composite soils (described above) but qualified with “MIXTURE OF”.

intentionally blank



Rock Strength

Rock strength is defined by the unconfined compressive strength, and it refers to the strength of the rock substance and not the strength of the overall rock mass, which may be considerably weaker due to defects.

The Point Load Strength Index $I_{s(50)}$ is commonly used to provide an estimate of the rock strength and site specific correlations should be developed to allow UCS values to be determined. The point load strength test procedure is described by Australian Standard AS4133.4.1-2007. The terms used to describe rock strength are as follows:

Strength Term	Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)	Point Load Index ¹ $I_{s(50)}$ MPa	Abbreviation Code
Very low	0.6 - 2	0.03 - 0.1	VL
Low	2 - 6	0.1 - 0.3	L
Medium	6 - 20	0.3 - 1.0	M
High	20 - 60	1 - 3	H
Very high	60 - 200	3 - 10	VH
Extremely high	>200	>10	EH

¹ Rock strength classification is based on UCS. The UCS to $I_{s(50)}$ ratio varies significantly for different rock types and specific ratios may be required for each site. The point load Index ranges shown above are as suggested in AS1726 and should not be relied upon without supporting evidence.

The following abbreviation codes are used for soil layers or seams of material “within rock” but for which the equivalent UCS strength is less than 0.6 MPa.

Scenario	Abbreviation Code
The material encountered has an equivalent UCS strength of less than 0.6 MPa, and therefore is considered to be soil (as per Note 1 of Table 20 of AS 1726-2017). The properties of the material encountered over this interval are described in the “Description of Strata” and soil properties columns.	SOIL
The material encountered has an equivalent UCS strength of less than 0.6 MPa, and therefore is considered to be soil (as per Note 1 of Table 20 of AS 1726-2017). The prominence of the material is such that it can be considered to be a seam (as defined in Table 22 of AS1726-2017) and the properties of the material are described in the defect column.	SEAM

Degree of Weathering

The degree of weathering of rock is classified as follows:

Weathering Term	Description	Abbreviation Code
Residual Soil ¹	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are no longer visible, but the soil has not been significantly transported.	RS
Extremely weathered ¹	Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible	XW
Highly weathered	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is significantly changed by weathering. Some primary minerals have weathered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.	HW
Moderately weathered	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.	MW
Slightly weathered	Rock is partially discoloured with staining or bleaching along joints but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.	SW
Fresh	No signs of decomposition or staining.	FR
Note: If HW and MW cannot be differentiated use DW (see below)		
Distinctly weathered	Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by iron staining. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to deposition of weathered products in pores.	DW

¹ The parent rock type, of which the residual/extremely weathered material is a derivative, will be stated in the description (where discernible).

Degree of Alteration

The degree of alteration of the rock material (physical or chemical changes caused by hot gasses or liquids at depth) is classified as follows:

Term	Description	Abbreviation Code
Extremely altered	Material is altered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible.	XA
Highly altered	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is changed by alteration. Some primary minerals are altered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to precipitation of secondary materials in pores.	HA
Moderately altered	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.	MA
Slightly altered	Rock is slightly discoloured but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock	SA
Note: If HA and MA cannot be differentiated use DA (see below)		
Distinctly altered	Rock strength usually changed by alteration. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by staining or bleaching. Porosity may be increased by leaching or may be decreased due to precipitation of secondary minerals in pores.	DA

Degree of Fracturing

The following descriptive classification apply to the spacing of natural occurring fractures in the rock mass. It includes bedding plane partings, joints and other defects, but excludes drilling breaks. These terms are generally not required on investigation logs where fracture spacing is presented as a histogram, and where used are presented in an unabbreviated format.

Term	Description
Fragmented	Fragments of <20 mm
Highly Fractured	Core lengths of 20-40 mm with occasional fragments
Fractured	Core lengths of 30-100 mm with occasional shorter and longer sections
Slightly Fractured	Core lengths of 300 mm or longer with occasional sections of 100-300 mm
Unbroken	Core contains very few fractures

Rock Quality Designation

The quality of the cored rock can be measured using the Rock Quality Designation (RQD) index, defined as:

$$RQD \% = \frac{\text{cumulative length of 'sound' core sections} > 100 \text{ mm long}}{\text{total drilled length of section being assessed}}$$

where 'sound' rock is assessed to be rock of low strength or stronger. The RQD applies only to natural fractures. If the core is broken by drilling or handling (i.e., drilling breaks) then the broken pieces are fitted back together and are not included in the calculation of RQD.

Stratification Spacing

These terms may be used to describe the spacing of bedding partings in sedimentary rocks. Where used, these terms are generally presented in an unabbreviated format

Term	Separation of Stratification Planes
Thinly laminated	< 6 mm
Laminated	6 mm to 20 mm
Very thinly bedded	20 mm to 60 mm
Thinly bedded	60 mm to 0.2 m
Medium bedded	0.2 m to 0.6 m
Thickly bedded	0.6 m to 2 m
Very thickly bedded	> 2 m

Defect Descriptions

Defect Type

Term	Abbreviation Code
Bedding plane	B
Cleavage	CL
Crushed seam	CS
Crushed zone	CZ
Drilling break	DB
Decomposed seam	DS
Drill lift	DL
Extremely Weathered seam	EW
Fault	F
Fracture	FC
Fragmented	FG
Handling break	HB
Infilled seam	IS
Joint	JT
Lamination	LAM
Shear seam	SS
Shear zone	SZ
Vein	VN
Mechanical break	MB
Parting	P
Sheared Surface	S

Rock Defect Orientation

Term	Abbreviation Code
Horizontal	H
Vertical	V
Sub-horizontal	SH
Sub-vertical	SV

Rock Defect Coating

Term	Abbreviation Code
Clean	CN
Coating	CT
Healed	HE
Infilled	INF
Stained	SN
Tight	TI
Veneer	VNR

Rock Defect Infill

Term	Abbreviation Code
Calcite	CA
Carbonaceous	CBS
Clay	CLAY
Iron oxide	FE
Manganese	MN
Pyrite	Py
Secondary material	MS
Silt	M
Quartz	Qz
Unidentified material	MU

Rock Defect Shape/Planarity


Term	Abbreviation Code
Curved	CU
Discontinuous	DIS
Irregular	IR
Planar	PR
Stepped	ST
Undulating	UN

Rock Defect Roughness

Term	Abbreviation Code
Polished	PO
Rough	RF
Smooth	SM
Slickensided	SL
Very rough	VR

Defect Orientation

The inclination of defects is always measured from the perpendicular to the core axis.





Sampling and Testing

A record of samples retained, and field testing performed is usually shown on a Douglas Partners' log with samples appearing to the left of a depth scale, and selected field and laboratory testing (including results, where relevant) appearing to the right of the scale, as illustrated below:

SAMPLE			DEPTH (m)	TESTING	
SAMPLE REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL		TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS
	SPT		1.0 1.45	SPT	4,9,11 N=20

Sampling

The type or intended purpose for which a sample was taken is indicated by the following abbreviation codes.

Sample Type	Code
Auger sample	A
Acid Sulfate sample	ASS
Bulk sample	B
Core sample	C
Disturbed sample	D
Environmental sample	ES
Gas sample	G
Piston sample	P
Sample from SPT test	SPT
Undisturbed tube sample	U ¹
Water sample	W
Material Sample	MT
Core sample for unconfined compressive strength testing	UCS

¹ – numeric suffixes indicate tube diameter/width in mm

The above codes only indicate that a sample was retained, and not that testing was scheduled or performed.

Field and Laboratory Testing

A record that field and laboratory testing was performed is indicated by the following abbreviation codes.

Test Type	Code
Pocket penetrometer (kPa)	PP
Photo ionisation detector (ppm)	PID
Standard Penetration Test x/y = x blows for y mm penetration HB = hammer bouncing HW = fell under weight of hammer	SPT
Shear vane (kPa)	V
Unconfined compressive strength, (MPa)	UCS

Field and laboratory testing (continued)

Test Type	Code
Point load test, (MPa), axial (A), diametric (D), irregular (I)	PLT(L)
Dynamic cone penetrometer, followed by blow count penetration increment in mm (cone tip, generally in accordance with AS1289.6.3.2)	DCP/150
Perth sand penetrometer, followed by blow count penetration increment in mm (flat tip, generally in accordance with AS1289.6.3.3)	PSP/150

Groundwater Observations

▷	seepage/inflow
▽	standing or observed water level
NFGWO	no free groundwater observed
OBS	observations obscured by drilling fluids

Drilling or Excavation Methods/Tools

The drilling/excavation methods used to perform the investigation may be shown either in a dedicated column down the left-hand edge of the log, or stated in the log footer. In some circumstances abbreviation codes may be used.

Method	Abbreviation Code
Direct Push	DP
Solid flight auger. Suffixes: /T = tungsten carbide tip, /V = v-shaped tip	AD ¹
Air Track	AT
Diatube	DT ¹
Hand auger	HA ¹
Hand tools (unspecified)	HAND
Existing exposure	X
Hollow flight auger	HSA ¹
HQ coring	HQ3
HMLC series coring	HMLC
NMLC series coring	NMLC
NQ coring	NQ3
PQ coring	PQ3
Predrilled	PD
Push tube	PT ¹
Ripping tyne/ripper	R
Rock roller	RR ¹
Rock breaker/hydraulic hammer	EH
Sonic drilling	SON ¹
Mud/blade bucket	MB ¹
Toothed bucket	TB ¹
Vibrocure	VC ¹
Vacuum excavation	VE
Wash bore (unspecified bit type)	WB ¹

¹ – numeric suffixes indicate tool diameter/width in mm

TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency
PROJECT: Proposed Development
LOCATION: Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff, ACT

SURFACE LEVEL: 647.0 AHD
COORDINATE: E:692686.8, N:6107787.0
DATUM/GRID: MGA2020 Zone 55
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/---°

LOCATION ID: 1
PROJECT No: 231354.01
DATE: 27/08/24
SHEET: 1 of 1

CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED						SAMPLE			TESTING AND REMARKS					
GROUNDWATER	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	GRAPHIC	ORIGIN (#)	CONSISTENCY (°)	DENSITY (°)	MOISTURE	REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL	DEPTH (m)	TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS	
	0.30	TOPSOIL / Sandy SILT (ML), trace clay: dark brown; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; with rootlets.		TOP and FILL	NA		w<PL						DCP9/150	5 10 15 20/110
	0.60	Sandy SILT (ML), trace gravel: pale grey; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; fine gravel; desiccated.		ALV	(VSt) to (H)		w<PL		D		0.50			
	1.00	Silty CLAY (CI-CH), trace sand: grey orange and grey; medium to high plasticity; fine to coarse sand.		RS	St to VSt		to w=PL		D		0.80	PP	150-210kPa	
	1.30	Silty CLAY (CI), trace sand: yellow mottled grey; medium plasticity; fine to coarse sand; desiccated.		RS	H		w<PL		D		1.60	PP	>400kPa	
	2.10	Silty CLAY (CI), with sand: orange mottled grey; medium plasticity; fine to coarse, medium sand.		RS becoming XWM	VSt		w<PL to w=PL		D		2.30	PP	240-270kPa	
	2.50	SILTSTONE; fine grained, orange grey, medium strength, moderately weathered, fractured.							D		3.00			
	3.70	From 3.40m: medium to high strength, moderately to slightly weathered, fractured to slightly fractured 3.70m: bucket slow progress, ripper attached to advance pit.							D		3.70			
	4.50								D		4.50			
	5.00	Test Pit discontinued at 5.00m depth. Limit of investigation..												

NOTES: #Soil origin is "probable" unless otherwise stated. °Consistency/Relative density shading is for visual reference only - no correlation between cohesive and granular materials is implied.

PLANT: Sumitmo 235 **OPERATOR:** Bingley Electrical Pty Ltd **LOGGED:** Miller
METHOD: 1100mm wide toothed bucket, ripper attached at 3.7m
REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon.

Refer to explanatory notes for symbol and abbreviation definitions



TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency
PROJECT: Proposed Development
LOCATION: Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff, ACT

SURFACE LEVEL: 647.0 AHD
COORDINATE: E:692729.7, N:6107770.0
DATUM/GRID: MGA2020 Zone 55
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/---°

LOCATION ID: 2
PROJECT No: 231354.01
DATE: 27/08/24
SHEET: 1 of 1

CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED						SAMPLE			TESTING AND REMARKS				
GROUNDWATER	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	GRAPHIC	ORIGIN (#)	CONSISTENCY, (%) DENSITY, (%)	MOISTURE	REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL	DEPTH (m)	TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS	
27/08/24 No free groundwater observed	0.40	TOPSOIL / Sandy SILT (ML), trace gravel: dark brown; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; coarse gravel; with rootlets. FILL.		TOP and FILL	NA	w=PL to w<PL							
	0.80	Sandy SILT (ML), trace gravel: pale grey; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; fine gravel.		ALV	VSt to H	w<PL							
	1.00	Silty CLAY (CI-CH), trace sand: red orange grey; medium to high plasticity; fine to coarse sand.		RS	H	w<PL		D					
	1.60	Sandy CLAY (CI), with gravel, trace silt: brown; medium plasticity; fine to coarse sand; fine to coarse gravel.		RS	(VSt)	w<PL to w=PL		D					
	1.80	Silty CLAY (CI), with sand: orange yellow grey; medium plasticity; fine to coarse sand.		RS	VSt	w<PL to w=PL		D			PP		300-310kPa
	2.00	SILTSTONE; fine grained, grey, low to medium strength, highly to moderately weathered, highly fractured to fractured.											
3.00	From 3.00m: medium strength, moderately weathered, fractured												
4.10	From 4.10m: High to very high strength, slightly weathered, fractured. Bucket slow progress, ripper attached to advance pit.												
4.80	Test Pit discontinued at 4.80m depth. Ripper refusal.												

NOTES: #Soil origin is "probable" unless otherwise stated. %Consistency/Relative density shading is for visual reference only - no correlation between cohesive and granular materials is implied.

PLANT: Sumitmo 235 **OPERATOR:** Bingley Electrical Pty Ltd **LOGGED:** Miller
METHOD: 1100mm wide toothed bucket, ripper attached at 4.1m
REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon.



Refer to explanatory notes for symbol and abbreviation definitions

Generated with CORE-GS by Ceroc - Soil Log

TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency
PROJECT: Proposed Development
LOCATION: Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff, ACT

SURFACE LEVEL: 647.0 AHD
COORDINATE: E:692750.3, N:6107781.0
DATUM/GRID: MGA2020 Zone 55
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/---°

LOCATION ID: 3
PROJECT No: 231354.01
DATE: 27/08/24
SHEET: 1 of 1

CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED						SAMPLE			TESTING AND REMARKS														
GROUNDWATER RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	GRAPHIC	ORIGIN (#)	CONSIS. (%) DENSITY (%)	MOISTURE	REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL	DEPTH (m)	TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS											
												5	10	15									
	0.40	TOPSOIL / Silty Sandy CLAY (CL), trace gravel: dark brown; low plasticity; fine to coarse gravel; with rootlets. FILL.		TOP and FILL	NA	w<PL to w=PL						DCP9/150											
	0.70	Silty CLAY (CL-CI), with sand: pale brown; low to medium plasticity; fine to coarse sand; desiccated.		ALV	H	w<PL		D		0.60													
	1.00	Silty CLAY (CH), trace sand: yellow brown; high plasticity; fine to coarse sand.		RS	H	w<PL		D		1.00	PP	>400kPa											
	1.50	SILTSTONE; fine grained, orange grey, low to medium strength, highly to moderately weathered, highly fractured to fractured.																					
	2.00																	D	2.00				
	3.00																	D	3.00				
	4.00									4													
	4.50									4.50													
	5.00	From 4.80m: medium strength, moderately weathered, fractured																					
		Test Pit discontinued at 5.00m depth. Limit of investigation..																					

NOTES: #Soil origin is "probable" unless otherwise stated. %Consistency/Relative density shading is for visual reference only - no correlation between cohesive and granular materials is implied.

PLANT: Sumitmo 235 **OPERATOR:** Bingley Electrical Pty Ltd **LOGGED:** Miller
METHOD: 1100mm wide toothed bucket
REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon.



TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency
PROJECT: Proposed Development
LOCATION: Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff, ACT

SURFACE LEVEL: 647.0 AHD
COORDINATE: E:692709.9, N:6107783.0
DATUM/GRID: MGA2020 Zone 55
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/---°

LOCATION ID: 4
PROJECT No: 231354.01
DATE: 27/08/24
SHEET: 1 of 1

CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED						SAMPLE			TESTING AND REMARKS			
GROUNDWATER	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	GRAPHIC	ORIGIN (#)	CONSIS. (%) DENSITY, (°)	MOISTURE	REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL	DEPTH (m)	TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS
27/08/24 No free groundwater observed	0.20	TOPSOIL / Sandy SILT (ML): dark brown; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; with rootlets. FILL.	[Cross-hatch pattern]	TOP and FILL	NA	w<PL to w=PL						
	0.50	Sandy SILT (ML), trace gravel: pale grey; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; fine gravel; desiccated.	[Cross-hatch pattern]	ALV	VSt	w<PL to w=PL						
	0.70	Silty CLAY (CH), trace sand: orange red grey; high plasticity; fine to coarse sand.	[Cross-hatch pattern]					D				
	1.00		[Cross-hatch pattern]									
	1.70		[Cross-hatch pattern]	RS	H	w<PL		D			PP ->400kPa	
2.10	Silty CLAY (CH), trace gravel: white grey; high plasticity; fine to coarse gravel.	[Cross-hatch pattern]										
2.30		[Cross-hatch pattern]	XWM	(VSt)	w<PL to w=PL			D			PP -340-350kPa	
2.50	SILTSTONE; fine grained, grey, low to medium strength, highly to moderately weathered, highly fractured to fractured.	[Cross-hatch pattern]										
3.00		[Cross-hatch pattern]						D				
3.50		[Cross-hatch pattern]										
4.00		[Cross-hatch pattern]						D				
4.70		[Cross-hatch pattern]										
5.10		[Cross-hatch pattern]										
		Test Pit discontinued at 5.10m depth. Limit of investigation.										

NOTES: #Soil origin is "probable" unless otherwise stated. %Consistency/Relative density shading is for visual reference only - no correlation between cohesive and granular materials is implied.

PLANT: Sumitmo 235 **OPERATOR:** Bingley Electrical Pty Ltd **LOGGED:** Miller

METHOD: 1100mm wide toothed bucket, ripper attached at 4.7m

REMARKS: Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon.

Refer to explanatory notes for symbol and abbreviation definitions



TEST PIT LOG

CLIENT: Suburban Land Agency
PROJECT: Proposed Development
LOCATION: Block 4 Section 23, Moncrieff, ACT

SURFACE LEVEL: 648.0 AHD
COORDINATE: E:692665.6, N:6107777.0
DATUM/GRID: MGA2020 Zone 55
DIP/AZIMUTH: 90°/---°

LOCATION ID: 5
PROJECT No: 231354.01
DATE: 27/08/24
SHEET: 1 of 1

GROUNDWATER		CONDITIONS ENCOUNTERED					SAMPLE			TESTING AND REMARKS		
RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	DESCRIPTION OF STRATA	GRAPHIC	ORIGIN (#)	CONSIS. (°) DENSITY (°)	MOISTURE	REMARKS	TYPE	INTERVAL	DEPTH (m)	TEST TYPE	RESULTS AND REMARKS
647	0.20	TOPSOIL / Sandy SILT (ML), trace gravel: dark brown; low plasticity; fine to coarse sand; fine to coarse gravel; with rootlets. FILL.		TOP and FILL	NA	w<PL						
		Silty CLAY (CH), trace sand: orange brown; high plasticity; fine to coarse sand.		RS	VSt	w<PL		D	0.50			
647	0.70	SILTSTONE; fine grained, grey, low to medium strength, highly to moderately weathered, highly fractured to fractured.						D	1.00			
646	2	From 1.00m: medium to high strength, moderately to slightly weathered, fractured to slightly fractured 1.10m: ripper attached to advance pit From 2.00m: high to very high strength, slightly weathered, slightly fractured						D	2.10			
645	3	Test Pit discontinued at 2.50m depth. Ripper refusal.						D	2.40			
644	4											
643	5											

NOTES: #Soil origin is "probable" unless otherwise stated. °Consistency/Relative density shading is for visual reference only - no correlation between cohesive and granular materials is implied.

PLANT: Sumitmo 235 **OPERATOR:** Bingley Electrical Pty Ltd **LOGGED:** Miller

METHOD: 1100mm wide toothed bucket, ripper attached at 1.1m

REMARKS: Rock shallow in southern edge of pit. Surface levels and coordinates are approximate only and must not be relied upon.